



Partnership As an Approach to Finance SMEs

Ahmed Aboualam

Research Director, Emirates Scholar Center Research & Studies

*Corresponding author: researchoffice@emiratesscholar.com

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 06 May 2025.

Accepted: 30 May 2025.

Published: 06 June 2025.

PEER REVIEW STATEMENT:

This article underwent double-blind peer review by 3 independent reviewers.

HOW TO CITE

Aboualam, A. (2025). Partnership as an approach to finance SMEs. Emirati Journal of Business, Economics, and Social Studies, 4(1), 65–71.

<https://doi.org/10.54878/8w8ene16>



Copyright: © 2025 by the author(s).

Licensee Emirates Scholar Center for Research & Studies, United Arab Emirates. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

ABSTRACT

Financing SMEs is considered one of the most important tools in middle east. To support SMEs, and sustain their business, the existence of financial solutions that comply with the Islamic rules will provide more alternatives for SMEs to finance their short-term obligations represented in profit and loss sharing tools (Musharakah and Modaraba). This study is based on using partnership as an approach to finance SMEs. The study was conducted in Kingdom of Bahrain. Recently, many financial institutions started to adopt many Islamic financial tools. The purpose of this study is exploring how the partnership is different from the traditional financial solutions and the impact of it on nonbanking financial institutions and on the financial services. The study was conducted through a five-points Likert scale questionnaire as the research instrument. The respondents of the study are the employees in nonbanking financial service providers and SMEs in Bahrain. The statistical techniques used in the study are Correlation Analysis, General Linear Model Structural Equation Methods and Path Analysis. The results showed a strong positive and significant correlation between partnership, non-banking financial institutions, financial services and SMEs. The study provides suggestions and recommendations for SMEs in Kingdom of Bahrain to have more alternatives to finance their operations.

Keywords: Partnership, Modaraba, Musharakah, SMEs, Nonbanking institutions, Financial Services

1. Introduction

The Islamic financial approach plays an important role in providing financial solutions that comply with Islamic financial norms and values. These solutions can provide different business sectors with an opportunity to finance their transactions and find the appropriate financial tools to meet their financial obligations. The traditional financial solutions may no longer effective to response to large segments of customers who target the Islamic financial solutions to finance their obligations specially for those who belongs to SME sector that has gained a great attention by governments in nowadays. One of the main concepts in Islamic finance that can leverages the financial obligations is partnership. The concept can positively affect the cashflow cycle and provide access to finance especially for small and medium enterprises. The small and medium enterprise sector has a major impact on job creation and contributes to the improvement of economic growth. Due to the limited accessibility of SMEs to gain more funds from banks either to grow or even to meet their short run financial obligations, there is a need for different sources of funding that do not contain the same restrictions provided by the traditional banks. This study attempts to examine the effect of partnership on financing small and medium enterprises applied in non-banking financial institutions in Bahrain. The Importance of this study lies in providing deeper understanding of partnership concepts as a financial tool that could replace the traditional financial tools and provide Islamic financial solutions to a large segment of SMEs who are not able to access to banking finance.

II. Statement of the Problem

The use of partnerships to finance SMEs is still controversial. Also, there is a need for further studies regarding the adaption and understanding how SMEs can access for more different Islamic financial tools. The main questions of the study are:

1.What is the impact of using partnership by SMEs in Kingdom of Bahrain to finance their operations?

2.What is the impact of partnership on non-banking financial institutions if considering different partnership concepts to finance SMEs in Bahrain?

3.What is the impact of using partnership on the financial services to finance SMEs in Bahrain?

III. Hypothesis

H01: There is a significant effect of partnership on SMEs.

H02: There is a significant effect of partnership on nonbanking institutions.


H03 There is a significant effect of partnership on financial services.

H04There is a significant effect of partnership on all dependent variables.

IV. Conceptual Framework

The goal of conceptual framework is to provide more understanding for the relationship between the variables of the study. Also, the framework will assist in providing guidelines on methods of data collection, analysis and achieving research objectives. The following model provides the variables of the research and the relationship between these variables. In this study, four main variables exist: partnership as an independent variable, nonbanking financial sector, financial services and SMEs as dependent variables

Figure 1: The conceptual Framework



V. Literature Review

Profit and Loss Sharing

Two prominent equity-based instruments are Musharakah (partnership) and Mudaraba (silent partnership) with defined profit-loss sharing (PLS) rules and values (Alghamdi ,2017). The rationale of the profit-loss sharing is that return on capital will be related to productivity, and the allocation of funds will be primarily linked to the soundness of the project (Kabir et al. 2012). Also, PLS could reduce the unjust distribution of wealth. In PLS, the supply of money is not allowed to overstep the supply of goods and would thus curb inflationary pressure in the economy (Zaher & Hassan 2001 as cited in Alghamdi ,2017). Profit-loss sharing is considered to better adjust to shocks and disruptions of payments mechanism. In a system where predetermined interest rate is prohibited and the nominal value of deposits (i.e., investment accounts) is not guaranteed, shocks are absorbed by changes in the nominal value of the deposits. (Alghamdi ,2017).

In PLS-based finance, investment depends on the additional production that is reflected in the expected profitability of the project. (Alghamdi ,2017). There is substantial evidence in the literature that small and medium enterprises are more financially constrained than larger enterprises. (Alghamdi ,2017). However, SMEs owners usually face a higher cost in access to external funds in the form of interests and collateral requirements. (Alghamdi ,2017). They usually fail to meet the stringent credits requirements of conventional banks. A few factors including information asymmetry, lack of trade history and lack of collateral increase the risk of lending to SMEs, hindering banks' willingness to lend to SMEs. To alleviate the finance issue facing SMEs, diverse and innovative sources of finance can be examined; one possibility is to identify potentials and limitations of participatory models of Islamic finance. Profit-loss sharing (PLS) contracts, is a possible solution to finance SMEs by allowing the entrepreneurs to share, rather than

to bear, (Alghamdi ,2017). It is argued that Islamic participatory approaches could have huge economic potentials for SMEs if genuinely undertaken. In partnership contracts, the Islamic financial intermediary (IFI) and the entrepreneur contribute capital into the business; thus, both parties share the risk, and the rewards associated with entrepreneurial activity (Alghamdi ,2017). Moreover, considering the objectives of Islamic finance, IFIs are under religious and moral obligation to actively participate towards the nations' welfare and prosperity through the promotion of entrepreneurship and funding the creation of new enterprises (Alghamdi ,2017). The profits and losses shared in PLS are those of a business enterprise or person who has obtained capital from financial institution (the terms "debt", "borrow", "loan" and "lender" are not used). (Wikipedia,2015). The intention is to promote "the concept of participation in a transaction backed by real assets, utilizing the funds at risk on a profit and loss-sharing basis". (Wikipedia,2015). It is stated that at least five times that Mudarabah and Musharakah are ideal mode of financing. (Shaikh,2014 as cited from Usmani 2004). Equity financing instruments for Islamic business contracts are based on the mudarabah (profit sharing) and musharakah (profit loss sharing) principles. (Sapuan,2016). These financing methods are an alternative to conventional debt financing that is based on rate of interest (riba). (Sapuan,2016). Equity financing involves mutual sharing of risks and profits, depending on the performance of the investment project. (Sapuan,2016).

Modaraba

In the case of Mudarabah (profit sharing), the contracting parties i.e., the fund provider (rabbulmal)-who supplies the financial capital and the entrepreneur (mudarib)-who supplies the expertise and management, will collectively take

part in profit sharing venture. Profits derived from the project will be shared according to the predetermined profit-sharing ratio such as 60:40 or 70:30 by both parties. (Sapuan, 2016). In the event of failure, all losses must be borne by the fund provider (rabbulmal), while the entrepreneur (mudarib) would have lost his time and effort. (Sapuan, 2016). Regarding the application of mudarabah for SMEs development, specific/restricted mudarabah is more appropriate to take place as the conditions and stipulations laid down by the financier could safeguard their capital outlay. (Jalil and Sabri,2007). In Islamic jurisprudence discipline, the financier is termed as the sahib al-mal or rabb almal (capital provider) as he is the party who provides a specific amount of capital to the SMEs. (Jalil and Sabri,2007). SMEs provide entrepreneurship and management expertise for carrying out the specified business venture, trade, industry, or services. Profits will be divided between as a pre-determined ratio. (Jalil and Sabri,2007). In the case of loss (without negligence or misconduct), financial loss will be incurred by the financier and the entrepreneur will suffer a fruitless effort. The enterprise will have a bad track record which would lead to a bad reputation of the company. This is indeed a fair division of profit and loss. (Jalil and Sabri,2007).

Musharaka

Musharaka is another potential model for SMEs development. (Jalil and Sabri,2007). Perhaps, it is more practicable and realistic compared to modaraba where total financial capital is contributed by only one party. In Musharaka model, both financiers and SMEs contribute to the capital of the joint venture and participate in work. (Jalil and Sabri,2007). The relationship between the financier and SMEs in a contract of Musharaka from the Fiqh viewpoint is a partner-to partner relationship (Jalil and Sabri,2007).

In a real situation, the financier could contribute e.g., 90% of the total capital outlay while the rest is contributed by the enterprise. (Jalil and Sabri,2007). However, the enterprise may carry out 90% of the labor and management aspects in the joint venture. (Jalil and Sabri,2007). In other words, the financier contributes most of the financial capital while the enterprise contributes most of the human capital. (Jalil and Sabri,2007). According to Hanafis and Hanbalis, profit can be divided in proportion to capital contribution; or equally divided even the capital contributions are different; and unequally divided even the capital contributions are equal. (Jalil and Sabri,2007). However, Malikis and Shafi's state that profit should be in proportionate to the size of capital contribution. In the case of loss (without negligence or misconduct), the scholars agree that the loss should be divided in proportion to their respective shares in the capital. (Jalil and Sabri,2007). This is based on principle of "Profit should be according to what they (partners) stipulated, and the loss should be proportionate to both funds (Jalil and Sabri,2007 as cited from AAOIFI, 1998).

SMEs Sector in Kingdom of Bahrain.

According to Kasi, (2016), Bahrain is an island with a total land of 765.3 square kilometers, located in the western shores of the Arabian Gulf. Bahrain is characterized as a "high income" economy with a gross national income per capita of US\$ 28,272.

Today, Kingdom of Bahrain is ranked 39th in the 2015 GCI rankings and 65th in the

World Bank's 2016 "Ease of Doing Business" rankings. (Kasi, 2016 as cited from World Bank, 2016).

According to the Ministry of Industry, Commerce & Tourism, Bahrain, SMEs or MSMEs (micro, small and medium enterprises) represent 99 percent of all commercial establishments registered in

Bahrain. (Kasi, 2016 as cited from Bahrain News Agency, 2015) .The SME sector represent 28% to Bahrain's (GDP) and gives direct and indirect employment to over 400,000 employees (Kasi, 2016).

Plans are designed to raise the share of the SME sector to the GDP to 35% by 2018 (Kasi, 2016) .The sector also represents 8% of the total exports (Kasi, 2016 as cited from Ministry of Industry, Commerce & Tourism 2016) .Bahrain was one of the first country in the Gulf countries to have come up with a formal policy statement and a definition of micro, small and medium enterprises. (Kasi, 2016 as cited from Ministry of Industry, Commerce & Tourism 2016).

Micro projects are defined as those projects that have up to 10 workers and have assets up to 20,000 Bahraini Dinars for the industrial segment, and yearly turnover of up to 100,000 Bahraini Dinars for all other segments (Kasi, 2016) .Small projects, defined as those with 11 to 50 workers (and up to 100 for the real-estate sector) and have assets between 20,001 Bahraini Dinars and 500,000 Bahraini Dinars for the industrial segment, or a yearly turnover between 100,001 Bahraini Dinars and 1 million Bahraini Dinars for all other segments (Kasi, 2016)..Medium-sized companies are those with 51 and 250 workers (and up to 400 for the real-estate sector) and have assets between 500,001 Bahraini Dinars and 3 million for the industrial segment, or a yearly turnover between 1,000,001 Bahraini Dinars and 5 million for all other segments (Kasi, 2016) .

Micro enterprises represent 92% of total SMEs registered in Kingdom of Bahrain (Kasi, 2016). The structure of micro, small and medium projects follow structure models elsewhere in the developed countries (Kasi, 2016). There is a high density of business enterprise per inhabitant (Kasi, 2016). Conservative forecasts for the true number of SME's are said to be around 49,000,

providing vacancies to 447,780 workers (Kasi, 2016). Bahrain's small and medium enterprises are often concentrated in three essential segments - commerce (43%), Industry (14%) and property (12%). (Kasi, 2016)

VI. Research Design

To achieve the objective of the study, the researcher will collect quantitative data and adopt a mixed inductive and deductive approach. The Bahrain financial sector represents the population of the study. It includes all types of financial institutions in the public and the private sector. The reason behind choosing the financial sector is the extensive use of different financial instruments, particularly, to some other sectors. The implementation of the Islamic financial tools plays a vital role as a financial instrument for different institutions that finance SMEs sector that rely on employ to some extent traditional financial instruments as their backbone infrastructure for financing their business operations. Stratified random samples will be drawn from the financial sector institutions. The reason behind selecting these sampling techniques is to address the decision makers who can provide funds to SMEs. The sample will be drawn from the managers who approve the provision of funds to SMEs. Sample members have been divided demographically into different groups according to their age, gender, level of education, functional level and years of experience. The samples size will be calculated according to the number of institutions that already provide the fund. The questionnaires will be distributed in different locations in Bahrain including Bahrain Financial Harbor, Ministry of Finance, Bahrain bay and other governates in Bahrain. The questionnaires use structured questions where the sample members should select their answers from a five-point Likert scale. The questionnaires have been distributed through different methods: Direct interaction with sample

members and online questionnaire. The statistical tool that will be applied is the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS). The statistical techniques used in the study are Correlation Analysis, General Linear Model Structural Equation Methods and Path Analysis

VII. Analysis of Data

In the following section, we discuss the results of the statistical analysis. We first provide statistical summary measures to the research variables, the partnership, the partner_F (Financial Services), the partner_SME (Small and Medium enterprise), and the partner_NB (Non-banking financial institutions). As indicated in Table (1), the average response of the participants regarding the partnership is 4.05 on 5-likert scale (p-value =0.000) which ensures a positive attitude of respondents to the role of the partnership the model.

It is also clear that a 95% confidence interval for the average mean of the partnership is in between (3.85, 4.26). It is also evident that the respondents are significantly positive in their attitude towards partnership_F (mean = 3.94, p-value =0.000), with 95% confidence interval (3.74, 4.15). The conclusion holds for both partnership_NB (mean 3.82, p-value =0.000), and 95% confidence internal (3.65, 4.10), and partnership_SME, (mean = 4.05, p-value=0.000), and 95% confidence interval (3.6, 4.03).

Table (1): One- Sample Test.

	One-Sample Test						
	Mean	Standard Error	Mean=3				
			t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference
partnership	4.0540	.10192	39.766	65	.000	4.05303	3.8495 4.2566
partnership_F	3.9444	.10419	37.857	65	.000	3.94444	3.7384 4.1525
partnership_NB	3.8225	.10560	34.456	65	.000	3.87879	3.6540 4.1036
partnership_SME	4.0540	.10192	36.198	65	.000	3.82251	3.6116 4.0334

Source: Author's Construction

Correlation Analysis

In this section we discuss the pairwise correlation between the research variables. The correlation is a measure of linear association between the research variables. It describes the strength and

direction of the linear relationship between pairs of research variables. As illustrated in Table (2), there is strong positive and significant correlation between partnership and partnership_F ($r = 0.586$, p -value =0.000), strong positive and significant correlation between the partnership and partnership_NB ($r = 0.622$, p -value 0.000). Strong positive correlation between the partnership and partnership_SME (0.668 , p -value = 0.000). Meanwhile, there is strong positive and significant correlation between partnership_F and partnership_NB ($r=0.735$, p -value =0.000), and partnership_F and partnership_SME ($r= 0.874$, p -value =0.000). Finally, there is strong positive and strong positive and significant correlation between partnership_NB and partnership_SME ($r = 0.811$, p -value =0.000).

Table (II) Correlation Structure

		Correlations			
		partnership	partnership_F	partnership_NB	partnership_SME
		Pearson Correlation			
partnership		1	.586 ^a	.622 ^a	.668 ^a
			.000	.000	.000
		N	66	66	66
partnership_F		.586 ^a	1	.735 ^a	.874 ^a
			.000	.000	.000
		N	66	66	66
partnership_NB		.622 ^a	.735 ^a	1	.811 ^a
			.000	.000	.000
		N	66	66	66
partnership_SME		.668 ^a	.874 ^a	.811 ^a	1
			.000	.000	.000
		N	66	66	66

^a. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Source: Author's Construction

The casual Effect of Partnership on Partnership_F, Partnership NB, and Partnership SME

The multivariate analysis of variance statistical technique is implemented to study the effect of partnership on partnership_F, Partnership NB, and Partnership SME. Table (2) exhibits the results of MANOVA. Partnership has a significant effect on partnership_F (p-value = 0.000), has significant effect on partnership_NB (p-value =0.000), and has significant effect on SME (p-value =0.000).

General Linear Model

Table (III): Multivariate Test

Effect	Multivariate Tests ^a				
	Value	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	Sig.
Partnership	Wilks' Lambda	.535	17.947 ^b	3.000	62.000 .000

^a. Design: Intercept + partnership

Source: Author's Construction


Table (iv): Between Subjects Effects

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects						
Source	Dependent Variable	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	partnership_F	15.990 ^a	1	15.990	33.461	
	partnership_NB	20.999 ^a	1	20.999	40.280	
	partnership_SME	21.336 ^a	1	21.336	51.522	
Intercept	partnership_F	5.994	1	5.994	12.543	
	partnership_NB	3.134	1	3.134	6.011	
	partnership_SME	2.701	1	2.701	6.522	
partnership	partnership_F	15.990	1	15.990	33.461	
	partnership_NB	20.999	1	20.999	40.280	
	partnership_SME	21.336	1	21.336	51.522	
Error	partnership_F	30.584	64	.478		
	partnership_NB	33.365	64	.521		
	partnership_SME	26.503	64	.414		
Total	partnership_F	1073.444	66			
	partnership_NB	1047.333	66			
	partnership_SME	1012.204	66			
Corrected Total	partnership_F	46.574	65			
	partnership_NB	54.364	65			
	partnership_SME	47.839	65			

a. R Squared = .343 (Adjusted R Squared = .333)
 b. R Squared = .386 (Adjusted R Squared = .377)
 c. R Squared = .446 (Adjusted R Squared = .437)

Source: Author's Construction

Structural Equation Methods are used to fit the data to many alternatives; the following are the direct effects on each of dependent variables.



Source: Author's Construction

Path Analysis

Table (v): Path Analysis

Effects	Coefficient	Standard error	t-value	p-value
Partnership → Part. F	0.53	0.11	4.98	0.000
Partnership → Part NB	0.51	0.11	4.78	0.000
Partnership → Part SME	0.58	0.10	5.73	0.000

Source: Author's Construction

As shown in the Table (5), partnership has 53% significant positive effect on partnership_F, which justifies hypothesis H1. Partnership has a 51% significant positive effect on partnership_NB, which verifies hypothesis H2. Partnership has a 58% significant positive effect on partnership_SME which asserts hypothesis H3.

VIII. References

- AAOIFI. 1998. Accounting and auditing standards for Islamic financial institutions. Manama: AAOIFI
- Abdul Razak, M. (2017). SMEs have the potential to contribute substantially to the economy. Available at: http://www.inceif.org/inceif-2018/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/IFHUB4_booklet_online.pdf
1. AAOIFI. 1998. Accounting and auditing standards for Islamic financial institutions. Manama: AAOIFI
2. Abdul Razak, M. (2017). SMEs have the potential to contribute substantially to the economy. Available at: http://www.inceif.org/inceif-2018/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/IFHUB4_booklet_online.pdf
3. Ahmed, M., Rahaman, H., and Begum, M. (2008). Practice of Mudaraba and Musharaka in Islamic Banking. Islami Bank Training and Research Academy, Dhaka
4. Alghamdi, A. (2017). The Viability of Profit-Loss Sharing Models to Finance Small and Medium Enterprises. The Case of Saudi Arabia. Master Thesis. Faculty of Social Sciences University of Denver.
5. Ali, M., Hussain, T. (2017). Murabaha Perceptions of Islamic Bankers: Case of Pakistan. Journal of Islamic Finance. Vol. 2(2), pp. 42-58
7. Bahrain News Agency (2015). Ministry of Industry, Commerce & Tourism, Bahrain.
8. Cosgrave, B., & Bayley, R. (2014). UK: Innovation & Opportunities in Islamic Finance. Available at: <http://www.mondaq.com/uk/x/327508/islamicfinance/Innovation%20Opportunities%20in%20Islamic%20Finance>
9. Factoring (finance). (2013). Wikipedia. Available at: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Factoring_\(finance\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Factoring_(finance))
10. Islamic Factoring. (2018). Kenanga. Available at: <https://www.kenanga.com.my/group-products/islamic-factoring>.
11. Jalil, A and Sabri, H. (2007). Islamic Equity Financing for SMEs Development. Readings in Islamic Financial Services. Kolej Sains Islam Malaysia University. Nilai. (10) pp. 91-104
12. Johnston, I. (2018). Is Factoring Halal or Haram? Available at: <https://www.factoringsolutions.co.uk/is-factoring-halal-or-haram/>.
13. Kabir, MD., Worthington, A., and Gupta, R. (2015). Comparative Credit Risk in Islamic and Conventional Bank. Pacific-Basin Finance Journal.
14. Kasi, R. (2016). An Overview of Bahrain's SME Sector. In Proceedings of 11th Annual London Business Research Conference.. Royal University for Women, Kingdom of Bahrain. Manama, Bahrain. (pp. 1-11). Available at: <https://wbiworldconpro.com/uploads/london-conference-2016-july/management/1467266700.pdf>

15. Kuckreja, R., Hafizi, W., Falck, A., Saeed, M., Ali, S., & Abdul Kader, B. (2018). Is there a Sharia-compliant factoring or receivables finance solution? Available at: <https://www.quora.com/Is-there-a-Sharia-compliant-factoring-or-receivables-financesolution>

16. Ministry of Industry, Commerce & Tourism (2016). An Overview of Bahrain's SME Sector. Bahrain.

17. Parker, M. (2018). Islamic trade finance continues to flourish. Available at: <http://www.cashandtrademagazine.com/islamic-finance/islamic-trade-financecontinues-to-flourish/>

18. Wikipedia. (2015). Profit and loss sharing. Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Profit_and_loss_sharing#cite_note-IIIBI-2

19. Profile of M/SMEs in Egypt. (2003). Ministry of Foreign Trade. The Small and Medium Enterprises, Policy Development Project (SMEPol). Available At: http://www.mof.gov.eg/MOFGallerySource/English/SME/Research_studies/10.pdf

20. Sapuan, N. M. (2016). An Evolution of Mudarabah Contract: A Viewpoint from Classical and Contemporary Islamic Scholars. The 7th International Economics & Business Management Conference, Procedia Economics and Finance. (pp. 349-358).

21. Shaikh, S. A. (2014). A Critical Analysis of Mudarabah & A New Approach to Equity Financing in Islamic Finance. Journal of Islamic Banking & Finance, 4. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224880959_A_Critical_Analysis_of_Mudarabah_a_New_Approach_to_Equity_Financing_in_Islamic_Finance

22. Shaikh, S., (2010). A Brief Review Introduction to Practiced Islamic Banking Finance, SSRN Electronic Journal. Munich.

23. Taqi, M. (n.d.). An Introduction to Islamic Finance. Retrieved on May 27, 2020, Available at: <https://www.illustratedislamicbanking.com/Introduction-to-Islamic-Banking.html>

24. Tawreeq launches the Sharia-compliant supply chain finance platform. (2015). The players. Available at: <https://www.thepaypers.com/e-invoicing-scf-eprocurement/tawreeq-launches-sharia-compliant-supply-chain-financeplatform/758283-24>

25. The International Factoring Report. (2018). Amsterdam: FCI. (pp. 1-36).

26. Tomusange, R. L. (2015). Factoring as a Financing Alternative for African Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises. Master's Thesis. Walden University.

27. Usmani, M., (2004) Introduction to Islamic Finance. Karachi. Available at: <https://archive.org/details/AnIntroductionToIslamFinanceByShaykhMuftiTaqiUsmani/page/n5/mode/2up>

28. World Bank Group. (2016.) Doing Business Economy Profile 2016: Bahrain. Washington, DC.

29. Zaher, T., and Hassan, M., (2001). A Comparative Literature Survey of Islamic Finance and Banking. Financial Markets Institutions & Instruments. 10(4):155 - 199