

Emirati Journal of Business, Economics and Social Studies
Vol 3 Issue 1 (2024)
Pages 14 - 20
Available at
www.emiratesscholar.com

Mathematical Analysis of P-Stability Maps for Parametric Conic Vector Optimization

Assem A. Tharwat[1], Nahia Mourad[2], Mohammed A. Mosilhy[3]

[1]American University, UAE, [1,3]Cairo University, Egypt,[2]British University, UAE,[3]University of Tabuk, KSA

ARTICLE INFO

KEYWORDS

Parametric Vector Optimization Problems (PVOP); Domination Cone; Perturbation Maps; Set-valued Maps; Stability Notions

HOW TO CITE

A.Tharwat, A., Mourad, N., & Mosilhy, M. A. (2024). Mathematical Analysis of P-Stability Maps for Parametric Conic Vector Optimization. Emirati Journal of Business, Economics and Social Studies, 3(1), 14-20.
https://doi.org/10.54878/wf7f4t04

ABSTRACT

Stability analysis for nonlinear programming systems deals with the possible changes of the system parameters and/or equations that maintain the stability of the solutions. It is a crucial requirement to study the nonlinear system and its practical values, specifically the economic impact in most real-world applications. This paper presents some outcomes in connection with stability analysis corresponding to parametric conic vector optimization problems. For these last optimization problems, two novel types of P-Stability maps, which are the P-Stability notion map and the P-Stability perturbation map, are considered based on six kinds of sets: P-feasible set, P-solvability set, the first, second, third, and fourth kinds of P-Stability notion sets with respect to a specific domination cone P. Furthermore, qualitative characteristics of the P-Stability maps under some continuity and convexity assumptions on the objective function are provided and proved. Specifically, the connections between the P-Stability maps and the P-Stability notion set are investigated. Accordingly, these characteristics were extended to the P-perturbation maps. In addition, the idea of P-stability has heavily used in different applications like network privacy, engineering fields, and some business financial models.

Double-blind peer review by independent reviewers.

Received: 12 Jan 2024, Accepted: 03 May 2024, Published: 04 Jun 2024

*Corresponding author: assemtharwat@hotmail.com

Copyright: © 2024 by the author. Licensee Emirates Scholar Center for Research & Studies, UAE.
Open access under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0

Introduction

Studies related to stability and sensitivity analysis for optimization problems are necessary not just from a theoretical but also from a practical point of view. This follows from the numerous applications of optimization theory within different fields. Extensive research was done on the stability and sensitivity analysis of PVOP [3, 6–8, 10, 22, 33]. Stability is commonly related to qualitative analysis. In this paper, for stability, we study various continuity properties for the stability maps associated with parametric vector optimization problems. Sensitivity, on the other hand, is related to differential stability and is relevant to the quantitative analysis. It is fulfilled, in general, by the study of the derivative and sub-differential expressions of the map under consideration.

Many results for stability and sensitivity analysis have been stated and proven within vector optimization theory and applications [5, 9, 19–21, 34,35]. Tanino [31, 32] has acquired some results related to sensitivity analysis for vector optimization problems (VOP) since several decades, based on the principle of contingent derivatives for set-valued maps pioneered by Aubin [2]. Then, in 1996, Kuk et al. expanded these results [18]. Notably, Shi investigated various quantitative results for the perturbation map associated with VOP, under some convexity assumptions [29, 30]. The existence of these assumptions leads to weaker results in comparison to the general case. This approach is referred to as the first approach or the Japanese one.

Simultaneously, the second approach, which is known as the Egyptian one, was presented by Osman in [24]. In this last proceeding, stability notions for single objective decision-making problems have been discussed using a parametric approach. Existing research on the qualitative analysis of fundamental notions in the parametric multi-objective convex programming problem, where the parameter exists in either the objective or in the constraint function, can be found in [24, 25]. Later, the stability notions for parametric optimization problems with parameters in both the objective and constraint functions were reinterpreted and qualitatively examined for multi-objective convex programming problems with their various applications, e.g. [10, 11, 16].

In the previous decay, it was noticed that the idea of P-stability has heavily used in network privacy, different engineering fields and some business applications. It may be used to create a complete polynomial randomized approximation system for graph masking and measurement risk in the context of online social networks [13,26].

This paper tackles the parametric conic vector optimization problems (PCVOP), where the optimization is restricted to being over a domination cone and is structured as follows. First, in the subsequent section, the basic problem is presented. Next, in Section 3, new types of P-Stability notion maps based on six kinds of sets, for PCVOP, are defined, where P denotes a cone. Moreover, qualitative properties are displayed and proved. Then in Section 4, the definition of the P-Stability perturbation map is extended, and some of its characteristics are shown. An illustrative example is given in Section 5 before Section 6 concludes the paper.

Basic Problem

In this study, we investigate a parametric conic vector optimization problem , for a perturbation vector parameter in

where is a -dimensional objective function on, is a -dimensional constraint function on, and identifies what is known by feasible decision set, in fact it is a set-valued map from to , and represents a nonempty pointed closed convex cone in that acts as the objective space's domination cone. [23].

On the real-vector space , define the set-valued map [2] by

In the objective space, this map is regarded as the feasible set map. In order to define a solution to the problem , a partial order is induced by the closed pointed with nonempty interior convex cone in the objective space is considered. If is pointed, then it contends that . Consequently, for, we define the following partial orders

The P-minimal points of a set are defined as follows in compliance with these orders:

Definition 2.1 (-minimal point) For any set, is called a minimal point of with respect to , if there is no s.t. . Consequently, represents the set of all-minimal points of.

The "P-Minimization" in the above problem is equivalent to obtaining the set see e.g., [12]. In view of this solution concept, the following set-valued map is defined

where is the power set of , and is called the perturbation map. Tanino did, in fact, investigate the quantitative outcomes of this map's behavior [31, 32] which then was improved by Shi [29, 30].

We end this section by stating some definitions regarding point-to-set maps which are serviceable through the paper.

Definition 2.2 (Compact set-valued map) Consider and to be subsets of and , respectively, and let . The set-valued map is uniformly compact near a point , if has an open

neighborhood where is compact. is called uniformly compact if it is uniformly compact near for all .

Definition 2.3 (Upper semicontinuous set-valued map) [1] Consider and to be subsets of and , respectively, and let . The set-valued map is called sequentially upper semicontinuous (S.u.s.c) at a point , if for each sequence , converging to , and every sequence , we have . is called S.u.s.c if it is S.u.s.c at for all .

Definition 2.4 (Closed set-valued map) Consider and to be subsets of and , respectively, and let . is closed if and only if for any .

P-Stability Notion Sets and Maps

The current section is devoted to studying the stability of a certain efficient solution lying inside the domination cone (which is normally determined by decision-makers) against all possible variations in the relevant vector parameter and establishing the stability notions that include all vector parameter values that keep this specific solution within the efficient set or keep the feasible points converging to the efficient solution within the cone. These stability notions are called P-stability sets. Therefore, the new definitions of P-stability notion maps for the PCVOP are presented in the following series of definitions.

Definition 3.1 (The feasible set of parameters) The feasible set of parameters, denoted by , for the parametric vector optimization problem is defined by

Definition 3.2 (The P-solvability set) The -solvability set, denoted by , for the problem is defined by

Definition 3.3 (The first kind P-Stability notion map) The first kind P-stability notion map, denoted by , is defined as the set-valued map

For a given efficient solution , is named the first kind P-Stability set.

Definition 3.4 (The second kind P-Stability notion map) Let with associated efficient solution so that , and denotes either the unique side of the feasible decision set which contains or , where , thus

The second kind P-Stability notion map is defined as the set-valued map by

The set , for a feasible solution , is said to be the second kind P-Stability set.

Definition 3.5 (The third kind P-Stability notion map) Assume that with an efficient solution where , is a feasible point in and . Then, the third kind P-Stability notion map is the set-valued map defined over into by

For given and, the set denotes the third kind P-Stability set.

Definition 3.6 (The fourth kind P-Stability notion map) For an efficient solution with such that and , the fourth kind P-Stability set-valued map is defined by,

The set , for a given and , denotes the fourth kind P-Stability set.

It is to be noted that, the first kind P-stability set-valued map at a given efficient solution , with associated parameter , collects all the parameters which will keep a minimizer. The second kind P-stability map is linked with the constraint function and collects those parameters which reserve the fact that is a minimizer. However, the third and fourth kinds collect all the parameters for which the objective function at those parameters lies in the neighborhood of the optimum value , . In subsequent some mathematical properties for the -stability sets and P-Stability notion maps () are stated and proved.

Proposition 3.1 (Uniqueness of) If is strictly convex on , and are different efficient solutions, then

Proof. By contradiction, assume that , then , i.e., corresponds to an efficient solution and. This is in opposition to the fact that being strictly convex. So, the proposition is proved.

Proposition 3.2 (Uniform compactness of) If is a convex function on and the map is closed, then the P-Stability notion map is uniformly compact.

Proof. It is enough to proof that is closed, for any efficient solution . Let be a sequence in and converging to in . It is sufficient to show that . We have . In fact, is continuous, as it is convex function in [27], then

Since is closed, then , this means that . Thus is closed, and therefore is uniformly compact at . So, the proposition is proved.

Proposition 3.3 (Upper semi-continuity of) The P-Stability notion map is sequentially upper semicontinuous at any efficient solution , if is a convex function on and is closed.

Proof. Let , such that, , and , this is valid following the fact that is uniformly compact. To prove the proposition, it is enough to show that . Since , this means that . In fact, is continuous, since is convex. So

Hence, , because is closed, this means that . So, the proposition is proved.

The uniqueness of the second kind stability set is proved in an analogous manner as Proposition 3.1. Following are

some properties that are substantial for the P-Stability set and P-Stability notion map .

Proposition 3.4 (Uniqueness of) If is one to one function, and are two distinct feasible points in , and are arbitrary numbers, such that . then

Moreover, if and are two distinct efficient solutions, then for any feasible point ,

Proof. Suppose the contrary, i.e., . Following the definition of we get that and

Inequalities (1) and (2) implies that

where and is an arbitrary positive number. Hence, which leads to contradiction with the assumption that is one-to-one. In an analogous manner, the second equality can be proved.

Proposition 3.5 (Uniform compactness of P-Stability notion map) If is a convex function on and the map is closed, then the P-Stability notion map is uniformly compact (locally bounded) at any efficient solution .

Proof. To prove the proposition, it is enough to show that is closed. Consider a sequence , where in i.e.

where , and . Since is closed and is convex, then and

So, for

Inequalities (3) and (4) implies that

Then , which proves the proposition.

Proposition 3.6 (Upper semi-continuity of P-Stability notion map) The P-Stability notion map is sequentially upper semi-continuous on any efficient solution , if is a convex function on and is closed.

Proof. Following the compactness of , let be a sequence of feasible solutions, such that and such that . To prove the proposition, we must show that . Since , then and . Moreover, since is a convex function on , this implies that is continuous, this leads to

But is closed, so . Moreover,

Therefore, for

We have

Consequently,

Thus, the proposition is proved.

Thereafter, some properties for the P-Stability set and P-Stability notion map are stated and proved.

Proposition 3.7 (Uniqueness of) Let be one-to-one function and two arbitrary distinct positive numbers such that . Then,

Proof. If there exists (i.e., assume the contrary). Then, from the definition of , we get and

There are two cases for and

Case 1. If , then from (5) and (6) we get

where , and is arbitrary positive number. This implies that , but this contradicts the assumption that is one to one.

Case 2. Otherwise, if , the contradiction is obtained by the assumption that . So, the proposition is proved.

Proposition 3.8 (Uniform compactness of) The P-Stability notion map is uniformly compact at any efficient solution , if is convex on and is closed.

Proof. To prove the proposition, it is enough to show that is closed. For this sake consider a sequence , where in . So there exist such that

and . Since is closed and is continuous, then and,

for any . Thus, for big enough, we have

where . Since is an arbitrary positive number Inequalities (7) and (8) implies that

Then . So, the proposition is proved.

Proposition 3.9 (Upper semi-continuity of) The P-Stability notion map is sequentially upper semi-continuous at , if is convex on and is closed.

Proof. Consider a sequence and such that to prove the proposition, we must show that . Indeed, then

and

Since is convex, then is continuous and this means that

Since is closed. Hence, and for any ,

Therefore, for big enough, we have

Hence, and , then and the proposition is proved.

The above-stated propositions prove the following theorem on the novel P-stability set-valued maps of the first, second, and third kinds, which form the core result of this paper.

Theorem 3.1 The P-Stability notion maps are uniformly compact, and sequentially upper semi-continuous at any efficient solution , if is convex on and is closed.

P-Perturbation Maps

Initially, we want to define the P-perturbation set-valued maps over into which are denoted by , for and, as follows:

Definition 4.1 (The P-perturbation maps) Define the set-valued maps , for and, from to as follows:

For sake of clarity the compact notation is used for all the . Important properties for the set-valued maps are introduced and proved in consequent. It is to be noted that, if is strictly convex on and are different efficient solutions in ; then

This follows from the uniqueness of (Proposition 3.1). Similarly, if is one-to-one, and and are different efficient solutions in then

(Proposition 3.4, Proposition 3.7).

Theorem 4.1 (Upper semi-continuity of) If is convex on , then the P-perturbation map () is upper semi-continuous at any efficient solution .

Proof. Consider a sequence of efficient solutions such that and let such that . To manifest the theorem, it is enough to prove that . Assume the contrary, i.e., there exists no , such that . We have,

Since is convex function, then is continuous [27], and so

The uniform compactness of (Proposition 3.2, Proposition 3.5, Proposition 3.8), implies that such that . So

This is contradicting with the assumption. Therefore, the theorem is proved.

The remainder of this section is dedicated to defining the P-Stability perturbation maps. For , the perturbation map is denoted by, and defined as the composite between the inverse of P-Stability notion , if it exists, and the perturbation map () as follows:

i.e., for

The connection between the Egyptian and Japanese approaches stems from the definitions of and .

Illustrative Example

Indeed, to obtain the -stability sets , for a feasible solution and , the subsequent steps can be applied

Step 1: Frame the optimization problem and involve the parameters under investigation.

Step 2: Start with any certain and use a suitable software package to obtain efficient solution .

Step 3: Use any scalarization technique, for example the non-negative weighting [13, 14] to form a single objective nonlinear programming problem corresponding to. For , we get

Step 4: Formulate the Kuhn-Tucker conditions (KTC) (corresponding to side in case of) [4, 15, 17, 28] of the problem

where

Step 5: Get the set of all -minimal points .

Step 6: The -stability set is collected as the intersection between the unions of the sets corresponding to the possible values of the multipliers as in [24, 25] and the set of parameters with optimum in . Note that, this last set lies in the domination cone .

Consider the following illustrative example, for ,

where is the top half of the first quadrant, i.e.

We have

and

The solvability set is then

The Lagrange operator for the weighted single valued objective function is

where , and the Kuhn-Tucker conditions are

where

To obtain the first kind stability set , Steps 1 through 5 are applied. In fact, for the KTC to be valid at the parameter with feasible solution then. Hence,

Then the first kind stability set is

Moreover, the second kind stability set is obtained in an analogous manner by applying Steps 1 through 5, but with KTC restricted to . The KTC restricted to the linear side are

Hence,

The third kind P-stability set , for a parameter , with efficient solution , and feasible point , is obtained as the intersection between the set of parameters with optimum in and those with image, under the objective function, is in the neighborhood of .

Consider the parameter , with feasible solution . Let and , then and . As , then For a norm on , with , the third kind P-stability set is

Note that, if , then , for the chosen norm. Lastly, the fourth kind P-stability set is

Conclusion

In a parametric convex vector optimization problem, stability and sensitivity analysis are critical. This stems from the various applications of these problems. In this study, we address a parametric vector optimization problem over a convex cone P. The P-Stability notion sets from first through fourth kind for such optimization problems are introduced together with their associated notion maps. Among qualitative mathematical properties, uniqueness, uniform compactness, and sequential semi-continuity are checked and proved for the notion maps

corresponding to the P-stability notion sets of the first, third, and fourth kinds. Moreover, the perturbation maps accompanying the stability notion maps are defined, and the uniqueness and sequential semi-continuity are shown wherever achievable. For future work, the same arguments might be extended to further stability maps with higher orders. Besides, the quantitative properties of stability and perturbation maps can be studied for generalized conic optimization problems.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments.

References

1. Arutyunov AV, Obukhovskii V (2016) 17. Set-valued maps. Upper semicontinuous and lower semicontinuous set-valued maps. In: 17. Set-valued maps. Upper semicontinuous and lower semicontinuous set-valued maps. De Gruyter, pp 109–120

2. Aubin J-P, Frankowska H (2009) Set-Valued Analysis. Springer Science & Business Media

3. Auslender A, Teboulle M (2006) Asymptotic Cones and Functions in Optimization and Variational Inequalities. Springer Science & Business Media

4. Bazaraa MS, Sherali HD, Shetty CM (2013) Nonlinear Programming: Theory and Algorithms. John Wiley & Sons

5. Bokrantz R, Fredriksson A (2017) Necessary and sufficient conditions for Pareto efficiency in robust multiobjective optimization. European Journal of Operational Research 262:682–692

6. Bonnans JF, Shapiro A (2013) Perturbation Analysis of Optimization Problems. Springer Science & Business Media

7. Borwein JM, Zhu QJ (2005) Variational Techniques and Multifunctions. In: Techniques of Variational Analysis. Springer, New York, NY, pp 165–241

8. 9. Chuong TD (2013) Derivatives of the Efficient Point Multifunction in Parametric Vector Optimization Problems. J Optim Theory Appl 156:247–265. doi: 10.1007/s10957-012-0099-1

9. Deng X, Zhao W (2021) S-derivative of perturbed mapping and solution mapping for parametric vector equilibrium problems. Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2021:1–16

10. Diening, Lars, Johannes Storn, and Tabea Tscherpel. "On the Sobolev and L^p-Stability of the L^2-Projection." SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 59.5 (2021): 2571-2607.

11. Elsisy MA, Eid MH, Osman MSA (2017) Qualitative analysis of basic notions in parametric rough convex programming (parameters in the objective function and feasible region is a rough set). OPSEARCH 54:724–734. doi: 10.1007/s12597-017-0300-2

12. Gunantara N (2018) A review of multi-objective optimization: Methods and its applications. Cogent Engineering 5:1502242

13. Julián S , Vicenç T (2016) Improving the characterization of P-stability for applications in network privacy, Discrete Applied MathematicsVolume 206, 19 June 2016, Pages 109-114

14. Kasimbeyli R, Ozturk ZK, Kasimbeyli N, Yalcin GD, Erdem BI (2019) Comparison of some scalarization methods in multiobjective optimization. Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society 42:1875–1905

15. Kjeldsen TH (2000) A contextualized historical analysis of the Kuhn–Tucker theorem in nonlinear programming: the impact of World War II. Historia mathematica 27:331–361

16. Kolbin VV, Perestoronin DS (2018) Optimality and Existence of the Solution of Stochastic Multi–Objective Optimization Problem. Journal of Algebra and Applied Mathematics 17:145–156

17. Kuhn HW (2014) Nonlinear programming: a historical view. In: Traces and emergence of nonlinear programming. Springer, pp 393–414

18. Liang X, Wang X, Zhang X, Liu C. Lp stability analysis of neural networks with multiple time-varying delays. Journal of the Franklin Institute. 2023 Sep 1;360(13):10386-408.

19. Li M, Li S, Fang Z (2012) Stability and sensitivity analysis of solutions to weak vector variational inequalities. Set-Valued and Variational Analysis 20:111–129

20. Li SJ, Li MH (2011) Sensitivity analysis of parametric weak vector equilibrium problems. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 380:354–362

21. Li SJ, Yan H, Chen G-Y (2003) Differential and sensitivity properties of gap functions for vector variational inequalities. Mathematical Methods of Operations Research 57:377–391

22. Mordukhovich BS (2006) Variational analysis and generalized differentiation II: Applications. Springer

23. Newhouse S (2004) Cone-fields, domination, and hyperbolicity. Modern dynamical systems and applications 419–432

24. Osman MSA (1977) Qualitative analysis of basic notions in parametric convex programming. I. Parameters in the constraints. Aplikace matematiky 22:318–332

25. Osman MSA (1977) Qualitative analysis of basic notions in parametric convex programming. II. Parameters in the objective function. Aplikace matematiky 22:333–348

26. Oyelami, Benjamin Oyediran, and Sam Olatunji Ale. "Application of E^ p-stability to impulsive financial model." International Journal of Analysis and Applications 2.1 (2013): 38-53.

27. Rockafellar RT (2015) Convex analysis. In: Convex analysis. Princeton university press

28. Ruszczynski A (2011) Nonlinear optimization. In: Nonlinear optimization. Princeton university press

29. Shi DS (1991) Contingent derivative of the perturbation map in multiobjective optimization. Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 70:385–396

30. Shokri, Ali, et al. "A new class of two-step P-stable TFPL methods for the numerical solution of second-order IVPs with oscillating solutions." Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 354 (2019): 551-561.

31. Tanino T (1988) Sensitivity analysis in multiobjective optimization. Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 56:479–499

32. Tanino T (1988) Stability and sensitivity analysis in convex vector optimization. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization 26:521–536

33. Tung LT (2021) On higher-order proto-differentiability and higher-order asymptotic proto-differentiability of weak perturbation maps in parametric vector optimization. Positivity 25:579–604. doi: 10.1007/s11117-020-00778-2

34. Zhang, D., Moreno, J. A., & Reger, J. (2022). Homogeneous L p Stability for Homogeneous Systems. IEEE

Access, 10, 81654-81683.

35. Zheng XY (2020) Well-Posed Solvability of Convex Optimization Problems on a Differentiable or Continuous Closed Convex Set. SIAM Journal on Optimization 30:490–512