

International Journal of Civilizations Studies & Tolerance Sciences

Vol 1 Issue 1 (2024)

Pages 96-102

Available at

www.emiratesscholar.com

Exploring the Rise of Populism Across Borders and Its Implications for Global Cooperation.

Sultan Saif Bin Helal Al Shehhi

Course Facilitator at FA Technology Ventures, United Arab Emirates

ARTICLE INFO

KEYWORDS

Populism; Liberal democracy; Political polarization; Nationalism; Globalization; Authoritarianism; Social justice; Democratic values; international cooperation

HOW TO CITE

alshehhi, S. S. B. H. (2024). Exploring the Rise of Populism Across Borders and Its Implications for Global Cooperation. International Journal of Civilizations Studies & Tolerance Sciences, 1(1), 96-102.

https://doi.org/10.54878/4a2bhn65

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the phenomenon of populism and its far-reaching implications for domestic politics and international relations. Following the aftermath of second world war, liberal democracies emerged as stable forms of government, emphasizing individual rights, consensus-building, and the rule of law. However, the rise of populism in recent decades has challenged these established norms, with populist leaders presenting themselves as champions of the people's will against perceived elites and entrenched institutions. Through an analysis of historical contexts and contemporary case studies, including the political trajectories of leaders like Marine Le Pen in France and Hugo Chavez in Venezuela, we explore the diverse manifestations of populism and its impact on democratic governance, social cohesion, and global cooperation. We delve into the complexities of populism's appeal, examining its roots in socio-economic inequalities, cultural anxieties, and disillusionment with traditional political establishments. Furthermore, we investigate the strategies employed by populist leaders to mobilize support and undermine democratic institutions, highlighting the tensions between populism and liberal democratic values such as pluralism, tolerance, and the protection of minority rights. By elucidating the multifaceted dynamics of populism and its farreaching consequences, this paper aims to provide insights into the challenges facing contemporary societies and the imperative for inclusive, responsive governance in an increasingly interconnected world. In exploring populism across different contexts, several key findings emerge populist leaders prioritize popular interests over individual rights, impacting political discourse and institutional trust long after their terms; their rise in influential countries can disrupt global organizations like the UN and NATO; while populism can shed light on social issues, it often exhibits authoritarian tendencies. Charismatic figures like Andreas Papandreou in Greece and Hugo Chavez in Venezuela illustrate how populist rhetoric challenges established norms and shapes political landscapes. Marine Le Pen's emergence in France highlights the influence of nationalist rhetoric on multiculturalism and democracy in Europe. The intricate relationship between globalization and populism reflects economic and cultural tensions, necessitating policymakers' attention to foster inclusive societies while preserving democratic values and social cohesion.

Double-blind peer review by independent reviewers.

Received: 14 Jan 2024, Accepted: 25 May 2024, Published: 28 Jun 2024

*Corresponding author: sultanbinhelal@outlook.com



Copyright: © 2024 by the author. Licensee Emirates Scholar Center for Research & Studies, UAE.
Open access under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0

Introduction:

After World War II, many countries aimed to distance themselves from totalitarian ideologies and sought a new political system that emphasized individual and social rights, consensus, and the rule of law. As a result, most Western nations adopted a stable form of government called liberal democracy. Liberal democracy acknowledges that society is composed of various interest groups that frequently conflict, and these groups must seek common ground. Liberal democracies rely on the rule of law and protect minority rights.

Despite the stabilizing effect of liberal democracies, they have not resolved all problems, including growing wealth inequality, which has led to the development of distrustful communities that mistrust the rich and political leaders. Consequently, citizens are inclined to seek a new type of leader who challenges established institutions and prioritizes the people's needs. Modern populists present themselves as the embodiment of the people's will and prioritize their interests over individual rights and social protections. They argue that institutions are controlled by a self-serving minority and strive to overthrow what they view as a broken system, disregarding institutions like courts, freedom of the press, and national constitutions, etc. The impact of populist leaders can endure beyond their term, affecting political discourse, the rule of law, and public trust.

In this paper, recognizing that 2024 is an election year with significant global implications, we acknowledge the potential impact these elections may have on international cooperation. We will broadly examine and clarify the multifaceted ways in which populism exerts its influence on the landscape of international cooperation, shedding light on the intricate dynamics and ramifications that arise from the intersection of populist ideologies with the mechanisms and frameworks of global collaboration. Additionally, we will examine previous cases of populism to provide context and insights into the current political landscape. By understanding these dynamics and drawing from historical examples, we aim to see how the elections of 2024 shape the ability of countries to work together on global issues.

Top of Form

Methodology:

Our study adopted a comprehensive approach to analyse populism and its effects on global cooperation. We gathered information from various sources, including academic literature, news articles, scholarly journals, and historical case studies. By reviewing scholarly works, we gained insights into the theoretical foundations and historical contexts of populism. Additionally, we examined historical case studies, such as the political trajectories of Marine Le Pen in France and Hugo Chavez in Venezuela, to provide both broad overviews and detailed analyses of populism's impact. This combination of theoretical exploration and historical investigation allowed us to develop a nuanced understanding of populism and its implications for global cooperation. Our methodology aimed to offer demanding insights relevant to present-day political discourse while remaining concise and informative.

Research questions:

How does populism reshape political discourse and institutional trust within democratic societies, and what are the long-term consequences of populist leadership?

What are the underlying socioeconomic and cultural factors that contribute to the rise of populist movements across different regions and contexts?

How do populist leaders mobilize support and challenge established norms and institutions within democratic frameworks?

What are the implications of populism for international relations and global cooperation, particularly in the context of multilateral organizations and agreements?

How do populist narratives frame issues such as globalization, immigration, and national identity, and how do these narratives shape public opinion and policy decisions?

Top of Form

Top of Form

Literature review:

Explaining populism is challenging because populist leaders have diverse agendas and goals for their rise to power. Some characteristics of populism may include opposition to immigration, imperialism, globalization, etc. However, the term populism has its roots in ancient Rome and derives from the Latin word "populus," signifying "the people." It has been used to describe various political movements with varying and sometimes conflicting objectives. The rise of populist groups to power, particularly in powerful countries, has significant implications not only at the domestic level but also on an international scale. Populist leaders on the global stage can trigger a chain reaction that affects critical global

ISSN: 3007-6382 - https://doi.org/10.54878/4a2bhn65

organizations such as the UN, NATO, and others.Top of Form While populism is often demonized, it does have some positive impacts at the local level. For example, populism can bring attention to social issues that government bodies may not be fully aware of. However, the unfortunate truth we must analyse is that populism often tends to resemble authoritarianism. The following passage will focus on a specific type of populism, which is demonstrated by the Papandreou administration in Greece.

In the 1970s, Greece experienced a period of increased stability after several decades of political unrest, as demonstrated by the drafting of a new constitution and discussions to join European organizations. Analysts expected that Greece's political landscape would follow a similar path to that of Western democracies however in 1981, Andreas Papandreou, the charismatic leader of the PASOK party, came to power (Clogg, 1982, p. 97). Papandreou was critical of the new constitution, accused those in power of "national betrayal," and opposed Greece's membership in NATO and the European Economic Community (Snyder, 1987). He promised to govern in the interest of "ordinary people" and famously declared, "there are no institutions, only the people." Papandreou rise to power is not unique; charismatic leaders in various democratic countries have often demonized political opponents and institutions, claiming to represent the people. Although Papandreou was loved by many Critics argue that this approach is authoritarian and fascist, contending that these leaders manipulate and deceive voters through emotional appeals.

Top of FormThe Populist Wave that Swept Venezuela:

Hugo Rafael Chavez Frias, the charismatic Venezuelan politician and military officer, left an indelible mark on the political landscape of Venezuela and the wider world during his presidency from 1999 until his death in 2013. Born into poverty and raised with a deep sense of social justice, Chavez's journey from military officer to president was marked by both triumphs and disagreements. Chavez's early years were shaped by his background in a family of mixed indigenous and African heritage, where his parents, both schoolteachers, instilled in him a commitment to helping the poor. Joining the Venezuelan military in 1971, Chavez quickly ascended the ranks, becoming a paratrooper and commander in the army. His exposure to leftist political ideas during his time in the United States, where he was trained by US military advisers, laid the groundwork for his future political aspirations. In 1992, Chavez led a failed coup against the government of President Carlos Andres Perez, earning him popularity among Venezuelans disappointed with corruption and inequality. After his release from prison in 1994, Chavez founded the Movement for the Fifth Republic (MVR), a socialist political party, and ran for president in 1998 on a platform of social and economic reform. Winning the presidential election with a significant majority, Chavez wasted no time in implementing his socialist agenda, which included land redistribution, increased spending on education and healthcare, and the nationalization of key industries.

While his policies garnered support from many Venezuelans, particularly the poor, they also sparked opposition from traditional elites and the United States, viewing him as a threat to their interests. Throughout his presidency, Chavez cultivated alliances with other leftist governments in Latin America and challenged US influence in the region. Despite controversy and polarization, he was re-elected in 2000 and 2006, consolidating his power and advancing his socialist agenda. His presidency, however, was stained by allegations of authoritarianism, economic mishandling, and human rights abuses, including suppression of political opposition and limitations on press freedom.

Chavez's death from cancer in 2013 marked the end of an era in Venezuelan politics. His legacy remains a topic of debate, with some lauding him as a champion of the poor and a defender against imperialism, while others criticize his autocratic tendencies and economic policies. Nevertheless, Chavez's impact on Venezuela and Latin America is undeniable, with his policies influencing other countries in the region. In conclusion, Hugo Chavez was a complex and controversial figure whose presidency reshaped Venezuela's political landscape. As a populist leader Chavez's policies were deeply rooted in championing the cause of the marginalized and promoting for social justice. While his legacy continues to spark debate, his commitment to social justice and empowerment of the marginalized leaves a lasting imprint on the history of Venezuela and the wider world (Roberts, 2012, p. 136).

Top of Form

Marine Le Pen the Populist Challenger in France's Political Arena:The 2022 French presidential elections drew global attention, highlighting Marine Le Pen's rise as a serious contender from the far-right Front National (FN) party. The following passage explores Le Pen's political journey and its wider implications for populism in Europe.

ISSN: 3007-6382 - https://doi.org/10.54878/4a2bhn65

The FN, founded in the 1970s by Le Pen's father, Jean-Marie, was known for its racist and anti-Semitic views. However, Marine Le Pen aimed to change this image and rebranded the party as National Rally in 2015 (National Rally, 2024). Despite her efforts to soften FN's message, controversies like her remarks on French responsibility in the Vel d'Hiv roundup which was the largest deportation of Jews in France during the holocaust have continued to cast doubts on her transformation (Le Pen, The Guardian, 2017).

At the heart of Le Pen's platform is French nationalism, with slogans like "France for the French." Her emphasis on French values resonates with voters worried about globalization, immigration, and national identity. Le Pen's doubt towards the European Union and calls for stricter immigration policies reinforce her image as a defender of French interests. Le Pen's success in reshaping the FN has influenced other politicians to adopt harder attitudes on immigration and national identity. This shift reflects the rising influence of populism in France, fuelled by events like the 2015 refugee crisis and terrorist attacks. Le Pen's proposed policies, including banning the Muslim veil and advocating for a "Frexit" referendum, represent a radical vision for France that could reshape its political and social landscape. Her campaign has sparked debates about multiculturalism, democratic values, and the impact of globalization and immigration (van Kessel et al., 2020).

Le Pen framed the debate as a choice between globalization and patriotism, suggesting that dissenters were unpatriotic. This dual edging underline the divisive nature of populism and its potential to undermine democratic discourse and social harmony. Marine Le Pen's 2022 campaign provides a significant case study of populism's enduring influence in Europe. Her rebranding efforts and the broader shift towards nationalist rhetoric raise important questions about multiculturalism and democratic values.

Populism vs globalization:

Dani Rodrik (2021), a scholar from Harvard University, provides perceptions into the relationship between globalization and populism in his article titled "Why Does Globalization Fuel Populism?" published in the Annual Review of Economics. In his analysis, Rodrik argues that while globalization brings economic benefits, it also generates disruptions and inequalities that contribute to the appeal of populist movements (Rodrik, Year). He points out that economic globalization can lead to job displacement, wage stagnation, and increased income inequality, particularly for certain segments of the population. These economic insecurities, compounded by a sense of cultural dislocation and loss of national identity, fuel populist sentiments among citizens who feel marginalized or left behind by global economic forces. Moreover, Rodrik emphasizes the role of cultural factors in shaping populist sentiment. As societies become more interconnected, some individuals and communities perceive a threat to their traditional values, cultural norms, and national sovereignty. This perceived loss of control over their own destinies prompts a backlash against globalization and the elites perceived to benefit from it. Rodrik's research sheds light on the complex interplay between economic globalization, cultural identity, and the rise of right-wing populism. By understanding these dynamics, policymakers can formulate more effective responses to address the underlying grievances driving populist movements. It is crucial for policymakers to craft policies that mitigate the adverse effects of globalization while preserving national sovereignty and cultural integrity.

In summary, Dani Rodrik's examination of globalization and populism underscores the need for comprehensive strategies that address both the economic and cultural dimensions of globalization. By acknowledging and responding to the concerns of citizens impacted by globalization, policymakers can work towards fostering inclusive economic growth and social cohesion in an increasingly interconnected world.

Populism's Corrosive Influence on International Relations:

Populism, with its attractive yet simplistic framing of social divisions, poses a significant threat to the delicate fabric of international cooperation, argues political scientist Mohamed Metawe in his insightful work, "Populism and domestic/ international politics: theory and practice" (2020). Metawe precisely separates the phenomenon, revealing its potential to worsen domestic tensions and ultimately trigger global fragmentation.

At its core, populism hinges on a stark separation: the virtuous "pure people" pitted against the wicked "corrupt elites" (Metawe, 2020). This framing, as Metawe observes, raises an "antagonistic political ideology" that views foreign policy as a plain extension of domestic political battles. Diplomatic finesse and the pursuit of consensus become casualties in this confrontational approach, replaced by an aggressive stance that disregards established norms and protocols. The ripple effects of this aggressive approach are evident in the European Union, a

ISSN: 3007-6382 - https://doi.org/10.54878/4a2bhn65

model of shared values and multilateralism. Populist movements within member states, Metawe warns, "put these norms and standards into question domestically" (2020), risking the EU's ability to advocate for democracy and human rights on the global stage. Euroscepticism fuels a desire for closed borders and rejection of the Schengen Agreement which increased the speed of trade and promoted freedom of movement within the EU countries that signed the agreement, possibly unravelling the precisely woven tapestry of economic integration and security cooperation. Instances like Italy's coerced policy shift and Poland's resistance to refugee relocation serve as stark reminders of these internal tensions.

Beyond Europe, populism's reach extends to global issues like climate change and poverty reduction. As Metawe posits, anti-globalization sentiments and nationalist fervour breed resistance to collaborative solutions (2020). The United States' withdrawal from the Paris Agreement under a populist leader serves as an alarming example, alongside trade wars and shifting alliances. This "over prioritization of domestic politics" (Metawe, 2020) results in unilateral actions and renegotiations, disregarding established institutions and agreements, and potentially jeopardizing global progress.

The potential consequences of unchecked populism are indeed "damaging" (Metawe, 2020). From potential "brexit ripple effects" (Metawe, 2020) to the "erosion of NATO's solidarity" (Metawe, 2020), the world stands on the cliff of a more divided and conflict-ridden era. Metawe paints a sobering picture of increased bilateral clashes, a weakened global governance structure, and an unpredictable international landscape shaped by inconsistent leadership. Metawe's analysis of populism serves as a crucial wake-up call. Its insidious influence, if left unchecked, could plunge the world into a dystopian future where cooperation crumbles and conflict reigns. Addressing this complex challenge demands a global collective effort, a renewed commitment to shared values and institutions, and a resolute rejection of the divisive narratives peddled by populist forces. Only then can we hope to navigate the turbulent waters of a world increasingly shaped by "them vs. us" rhetoric and its potentially catastrophic consequences.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, our examination of the rise of populism across borders underscores its multifaceted challenge to international cooperation, threatening shared values, institutional trust, and global progress. Through detailed research, we've clarified how populism thrives on simplistic narratives of "us vs. them," exploiting social and economic concerns to undermine democratic norms and institutions. By delving into compelling case studies like Marine Le Pen in France and Hugo Chavez in Venezuela, we've revealed the diverse manifestations of populism and its profound impact on national discourse, policy decisions, and international relations. The growing influence of populist sentiments raises legitimate concerns about rising nationalism, protectionism, and the erosion of multilateral frameworks such as the UN and NATO. However, our exploration doesn't solely present a negative outlook. We've also acknowledged populism's capacity to shed light on neglected social issues. Ultimately, the success of populism depends on our ability to address the underlying socio-economic inequalities and cultural concerns that fuel its rise. Our research offers key insights for navigating the populist wave. Promoting social justice and inclusive economic growth, strengthening democratic institutions, fostering useful dialogue and critical thinking, embracing diversity and intercultural understanding, and refreshing multilateralism and global cooperation are pivotal strategies. By acknowledging the complexities of populism and taking concerted action to address its root causes, we can forge a more inclusive, just, and cooperative future. The research presented in this paper serves as a valuable resource in understanding this pressing global challenge and advocating for effective solutions.

Reference:

Clogg, R. (1982). The Greek elections of 1981. Electoral Studies, 1(1), 95-99. https://doi.org/10.1016/0261-3794(82)90132-9

Marine Le Pen denies French role in wartime roundup of Paris Jews. (2017). Retrieved from https://www.theguardian.com/world/2017/apr/09/marine-le-pen-denies-french-role-wartime-roundup-paris-jews#:~:text=But%20Le%20Pen%20told%20the,It's%20not%20France. %E2%80%9D

Metawe, M. (2020). Populism and domestic/international politics: Theory and practice. Department of Political Science, Faculty of Economics and Political Science, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.

National Rally. (2024). Retrieved from https://www.britannica.com/topic/National-Rally-France.

Roberts, K. M. (2012). Populism and democracy in Venezuela under Hugo Chávez. In C. Mudde & C. R. Kaltwasser (Eds.), Populism in Europe and the Americas:

Threat or Corrective for Democracy? (pp. 136-XXX). Cambridge University Press.Top of Form

Rodrik, D. (2021). Why Does Globalization Fuel Populism? Economics, Culture, and the Rise of Right-Wing Populism. Annual Review of Economics.

Snyder, J. C. (1987). Papandreou and Greek participation in NATO. In Defending the Fringe: NATO, The Mediterranean, And the Persian Gulf (1st ed.). Routledge.

van Kessel, S., Chelotti, N., Drake, H., Roch, J., & Rodi, P. (2020). Eager to leave? Populist radical right parties' responses to the UK's Brexit vote. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations, 22(1), 65-84. https://doi.org/10.1177/1369148119886213