

Emirati Journal of Business, Economics and Social Studies
Vol 5 Issue 2 (2026)
Pages 335 - 344
Available at
www.emiratesscholar.com

Corridors of Integrity: India-UAE Financial Vigilance, FATF Readiness, and Regional Economic Security

Vipul V. Tamhane
ExeSTAT Business Services Pvt Ltd, India

ARTICLE INFO

KEYWORDS
Financial vigilance; FATF effectiveness; AML/CFT; India-UAE economic corridor; virtual digital assets; asset recovery; regional economic security

HOW TO CITE
Tamhane, V. V. (2026). Corridors of Integrity: India-UAE Financial Vigilance, FATF Readiness, and Regional Economic Security. Emirati Journal of Business, Economics and Social Studies, 5(2), 335-344. https://doi.org/10.54878/rbt7g621

ABSTRACT

The India-UAE corridor carries more than USD 100 billion in annual trade and the world's second-largest remittance flow, yet the same channels create money-laundering, terrorist-financing and proliferation-financing risks that bear directly on investor confidence and regional economic security. This paper asks how bilateral financial vigilance enhances readiness for the Financial Action Task Force's (FATF) fifth-round evaluations and stabilises the corridor's economic value.A qualitative, interpretive design synthesises primary instruments (the FATF 2022 Methodology, mutual-evaluation reports, UAE Federal Decree-Law No. 10 of 2025, the 1999 bilateral treaties), official statistics, peer-reviewed literature and open-source enforcement records, mapping cooperation mechanisms to FATF Immediate Outcomes through three illustrative cases (Mahadev, PYYPL and a stalled extradition).Coordinated vigilance functions as an "effectiveness-manufacturing mechanism": joint risk assessment, near-real-time intelligence exchange, coordinated confiscation and cross-border public-private cooperation generate outcome evidence that assessors reward, and each joint action credits both states at once. Measurable financial vigilance is a form of strategic-economic capability. The paper proposes a bilateral FATF-readiness scorecard to close a disaggregated-data gap and a phased, agency-specific roadmap, offering a replicable template for South-Gulf and South-South cooperation.

Double-blind peer review by independent reviewers.
Received: 23 Jul 2026, Accepted: 12 Oct 2026, Published: 04 Nov 2026
*Corresponding author: vipul.tamhane@gmail.com
Copyright: © 2026 by the author. Licensee Emirates Scholar Center for Research & Studies, UAE.
Open access under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0

1. Introduction

Few bilateral relationships display the double edge of financial globalisation as sharply as that between India and the United Arab Emirates. In fiscal year 2024-25 merchandise trade crossed the symbolic hundred-billion-dollar line, reaching USD 100.06 billion, a 19.6 per cent rise, and held above that mark the following year at roughly USD 101 billion, with non-oil commerce now close to two-thirds of the total (DD News, 2025; Times of Oman, 2026). Layered onto this trade is a human artery of comparable economic weight: the India-UAE remittance corridor, worth more than USD 20 billion a year, is the second-largest on earth and absorbs close to a third of India's inward remittances, sustained by some three million resident Indians (Khaleej Times, 2026).

The same channels that carry legitimate value attract those who would abuse them. Heavy gold movement, a sprawling free-zone ecosystem, dense trade finance, entrenched informal value-transfer networks and a fast-maturing virtual-asset sector generate laundering and terrorist-financing vulnerabilities that neither economy can address alone (Drishti IAS, 2026). These are not merely law-enforcement concerns; unchecked illicit finance erodes investor confidence, invites correspondent-banking de-risking, and threatens the settlement and connectivity infrastructure on which the corridor's prosperity depends.

The exposure arrives at a demanding moment for both economies. The FATF has reoriented how it judges jurisdictions: its fifth round of mutual evaluations, run under the 2022 Methodology, subordinates technical compliance, whether the right laws exist, to effectiveness, that is, whether those laws produce investigations, prosecutions, confiscations and disrupted networks in proportion to risk (Financial Action Task Force [FATF], 2022). The economic stakes are documented: machine-learning analysis of grey-listing finds a large, statistically significant fall in capital inflows for listed economies (Kida & Paetzold, 2021). For the UAE the deadline is immediate, its fifth-round on-site assessment falls in mid-2026, barely two years after it left the FATF's list of jurisdictions under increased monitoring (Herbert Smith Freehills Kramer, 2025). For India, placed in the "regular follow-up" category after its 2024 evaluation, the task is to sustain and deepen a strong record before its own next review (Press Information Bureau, 2024).

The research question follows: how does the India-UAE financial-vigilance partnership enhance FATF readiness and regional economic security, and what mechanisms make it effective? The paper argues that the partnership is best understood as a strategic-economic instrument, a purposeful conversion of shared vulnerability into shared capability, rather than diplomatic goodwill. Its contribution to the literature is to move the study of bilateral AML/CFT cooperation from description toward a results-based, measurable framework, treating a shared trade-and-remittance corridor as an engine of evaluable outcomes rather than a backdrop to occasional mutual legal assistance. Three objectives structure the analysis: to specify how cooperation generates FATF-relevant effectiveness evidence; to propose an instrument, a bilateral readiness scorecard, that makes that evidence measurable; and to set out a phased, agency-specific implementation pathway with clear economic pay-offs.

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. Section 2 reviews four literatures, the compliance-effectiveness distinction, the economics of evaluation outcomes, the principal laundering typologies, and the political economy of cooperation, and develops the corridor-of-integrity concept. Section 3 sets out a replicable qualitative design, the document corpus, the case-selection logic and the study's limitations. Section 4 presents the results: the institutional architecture, the mechanism-to-outcome mapping (Table 1), the case evidence, and the measurement and comparative instruments (Tables 2 and 3). Section 5 discusses the findings against the literature, develops the economic-security argument and a methodological critique, positions the model comparatively, states the limitations, and draws out policy implications. Section 6 concludes with contributions and future-research directions. Appendices A and B provide a milestone timeline and a phased implementation roadmap.

2. Literature Review

2.1 From compliance to effectiveness

The intellectual foundation of contemporary anti-money-laundering assessment is the distinction between technical compliance and effectiveness. Technical compliance asks whether a jurisdiction has adopted the forty FATF Recommendations in law; effectiveness asks whether the system delivers results, rated across eleven Immediate Outcomes (Chadha, 2024). Cross-country evidence shows the two diverge sharply: Mekpor (2019), analysing FATF member states, finds that formal compliance routinely outruns operational effectiveness, with many jurisdictions "scratching the surface" rather than producing outcomes. The FATF's own 2022 strategic review reached a similar verdict, criticising a "tick-box" approach that neglects results, especially in complex cross-border cases (Society of Trust and Estate

ISSN: 2791-3171 - https://doi.org/10.54878/rbt7g621

Practitioners, 2022). The fifth round is the institutional response, weighting effectiveness and the alignment of effort with risk, and assessing the financial sector and designated non-financial businesses separately (FATF, 2022).

The eleven Immediate Outcomes are not of equal relevance to a bilateral analysis. Immediate Outcome 1 concerns the understanding of risk and domestic coordination; Immediate Outcome 2, international cooperation; Immediate Outcomes 3 and 4, supervision and preventive measures; Immediate Outcomes 6, 7 and 8, financial intelligence, money-laundering investigation and confiscation; and Immediate Outcomes 9 and 10, terrorist-financing investigation and prevention. A recurring empirical pattern across the Global Network is that strong ratings on cooperation (Immediate Outcome 2) coexist with weaker ratings on investigation and confiscation (Immediate Outcomes 7 and 8), the "effectiveness gap". India's 2024 evaluation exemplifies the tension: assessors praised its international cooperation, asset recovery and proliferation-financing sanctions while flagging weaker supervision of some non-financial sectors and delays in concluding proceedings (FATF, 2024). The gap is not closed by drafting more law; it is closed by execution, and execution across borders requires partners, the point at which bilateral cooperation enters the analysis not as diplomacy but as a determinant of measured effectiveness.

2.2 The economics of evaluation: why effectiveness pays

A parallel literature quantifies the economic consequences of evaluation outcomes. Using machine-learning techniques on cross-country data, Kida and Paetzold (2021) estimate that grey-listing significantly reduces capital inflows, including foreign direct and portfolio investment. This reframes AML/CFT effectiveness from a compliance cost into a determinant of the investment climate, a framing directly relevant to two economies pursuing a USD 200 billion trade target and large-scale cross-border settlement. The effectiveness gap, in this light, is not merely a regulatory shortfall but a latent economic liability: a jurisdiction that cooperates well yet cannot convert cooperation into completed prosecutions and confiscations carries reputational risk that prices into its cost of capital.

2.3 Typologies: hawala, trade-based laundering and virtual assets

Three typologies dominate the corridor, and each has a mature scholarship. Informal value-transfer systems, chiefly hawala, have been studied since well before the current standards; Passas (2003) shows they are resilient, embedded in legitimate remittance flows, and ambiguous in their relationship to crime, neither inherently illicit nor easily surveilled. Trade-based money laundering, including the manipulation of gold and free-zone flows, is the subject of a growing systematic literature that maps its mechanics and detection challenges (Tiwari, Ferrill, & Allan, 2025), complemented by the FATF's own analysis of free-trade-zone vulnerabilities (FATF, 2010). Virtual-asset crime is the fastest-moving strand: Leuprecht, Jenkins, and Hamilton (2023) document how launderers "chain-hop" across custodial and non-custodial wallets, exploiting regulatory seams between jurisdictions, precisely the seams a dense bilateral corridor presents.

2.4 The political economy of bilateral cooperation

A fourth strand concerns why cooperation, though widely advocated, is so often under-institutionalised. The literature points to path dependence in ageing treaty frameworks, weak institutional incentives for the patient work of international cooperation, and geopolitical calculation that shapes states' willingness to share financial intelligence. These are not abstractions in the India-UAE case: the governing instruments date from 1999, predating both the virtual-asset economy and the CEPA-era volumes they must now police, and each state's intelligence-sharing posture is conditioned by wider alignments, India's toward Pakistan, Iran and a growing Chinese presence in the Gulf, the UAE's toward the United States, Saudi Arabia and the free-zone constituencies that prize light-touch supervision.

Taken together, these four strands leave a gap this paper addresses. The effectiveness literature diagnoses the compliance-effectiveness divergence but treats it largely as a domestic capability problem; the typology literature maps techniques but not the cooperative structures that counter them; and the political-economy literature explains under-institutionalisation without specifying what a better-institutionalised arrangement would produce. This study synthesises the strands into a single claim the existing literature has not made explicit: that a well-designed bilateral partnership can act as an effectiveness-manufacturing mechanism, systematically generating the outcome evidence the fifth round rewards. Where prior work treats bilateral cooperation descriptively, as a series of mutual-legal-assistance events, this study models it as a productive process with measurable outputs, and asks how those outputs map onto the assessment framework that now governs both economies' financial reputations and, through the grey-listing channel, their cost of capital.

2.5 Corridors, economic security and the integrity concept

A final body of work, spanning economic geography and security studies, treats trade-and-remittance corridors as objects of strategic value whose disruption carries systemic economic cost. This literature increasingly frames financial integrity as infrastructure: the transparency and supervisability of a route's financial plumbing is a precondition for the investment, settlement and logistics activity the route is meant to carry. The paper builds on this to advance the notion of a "corridor of integrity", a trade, remittance and energy route whose financial channels are transparent and well-supervised enough that criminal and terrorist actors cannot exploit them at scale without detection. The concept connects the effectiveness literature (which supplies the measurement apparatus), the typology literature (which identifies the threats), and the economics of evaluation (which prices the consequences of failure). It is the analytical bridge between compliance and economic security, and it reframes AML/CFT cooperation as a contribution to the resilience of a productive economic asset rather than as a regulatory overhead.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research design

The study adopts a qualitative, interpretive design suited to a question about mechanisms rather than magnitudes. Because no public dataset disaggregates India-UAE cooperation into jurisdiction-specific statistics, a purely quantitative design is not feasible; the interpretive approach instead traces how documented mechanisms plausibly generate assessable outcomes, and where they fail. The design is explicitly replicable: the corpus, the case-selection logic and the analytical mapping are all specified below so that another researcher could reconstruct the analysis.

3.2 Document corpus

The corpus comprises four source classes. Primary instruments: the FATF 2022 Methodology and mutual-evaluation reports for India and the UAE; UAE Federal Decree-Law No. 10 of 2025; and the 1999 India-UAE extradition and mutual-legal-assistance treaties. Official statistics: enforcement and cooperation figures published by the UAE authorities and India's Directorate of Enforcement (ED) and Financial Intelligence Unit-India (FIU-IND). Peer-reviewed literature on AML/CFT effectiveness, hawala, trade-based laundering and virtual-asset crime. And open-source enforcement records, official press releases and reputable reporting, used for case facts and flagged as secondary where primary documents are not public.

3.3 Case selection

Three enforcement matters are analysed as illustrative, not representative, cases: the Mahadev online-betting network, the PYYPL prepaid-card and virtual-asset matter, and the stalled transfer of a fugitive in the Mahadev case. They were chosen for analytical leverage rather than statistical typicality: each maps cleanly onto a distinct Immediate Outcome, and together they span the corridor's principal typologies, real-estate laundering, fintech-virtual-asset bridging, and the execution gap in cross-border returns. The selection follows a most-instructive-case logic; findings are therefore analytically, not statistically, generalisable.

3.4 Analytical approach and limitations

Analysis proceeds by mapping each cooperation mechanism, and each case, to the Immediate Outcome(s) it evidences (see Table 1). This mechanism-to-outcome mapping is the study's core analytical device; it converts a narrative about cooperation into a structured claim about assessable effectiveness. Two limitations are stated plainly. First, the absence of publicly disaggregated bilateral statistics means the intensity of cooperation is inferred from aggregate national data and illustrative cases rather than measured directly; the strongest available evidence is operational (attachments, arrests, executed requests) rather than fully statistical. Second, open-source enforcement reporting carries the usual reliability caveats and is triangulated against official releases where possible. Rather than concealing the first limitation, the paper treats it as a finding that motivates the proposed scorecard.

3.5 Trustworthiness and analytical rigour

Because the design is interpretive, its credibility rests on procedural transparency rather than statistical inference. Three safeguards are applied. Triangulation: case facts are corroborated across at least two independent sources, an official release and reputable reporting, wherever possible, and figures are cross-checked against the issuing authority's own statements. Transferability: the case-selection logic and the mechanism-to-outcome mapping are specified so that the analytical frame can be re-applied to other corridors, and Section 5 tests it against three comparators. Reflexivity: the paper is explicit about the direction of its argument and about the risk that a mechanism-focused reading may over-attribute outcomes to cooperation; the treatment of the Uppal case is included partly to guard against that optimism. No claim

of statistical generalisability is made; the contribution is a transferable analytical framework and a measurement instrument, both of which are falsifiable once the proposed scorecard generates data.

4. Results

The results are organised in three moves: the institutional and operational architecture that enables cooperation; the mechanism-to-outcome mapping that shows how cooperation generates effectiveness evidence (Table 1); and the measurement instrument and comparative positioning that make the argument operational (Tables 2 and 3).

4.1 Institutional and operational architecture

The legal scaffolding is long-standing: India and the UAE concluded extradition and mutual-legal-assistance treaties in 1999 (Extradition Lawyers, n.d.). On the Indian side the operational core is the ED, administering the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA) and the Fugitive Economic Offenders Act (FEOA), and FIU-IND, which disseminates intelligence through its FINNET platform (Financial Intelligence Unit-India, n.d.). On the Emirati side the machinery runs through the UAE Financial Intelligence Unit (UAEFIU), the Central Bank of the UAE (CBUAE), the Securities and Commodities Authority (SCA), the free-zone regulators, the Abu Dhabi Global Market's Financial Services Regulatory Authority (ADGM FSRA) and the Dubai Financial Services Authority (DFSA), and, for Dubai virtual assets, the Virtual Assets Regulatory Authority (VARA), coordinated by the Executive Office for AML/CTF and the National Committee. Knowing which authority owns which perimeter is not pedantry: it determines which supervisor an Indian request should reach.

Two developments strengthen the framework. UAE Federal Decree-Law No. 10 of 2025 builds an explicit international-cooperation and asset-recovery regime, barring refusal of assistance on bank-secrecy or tax grounds and permitting execution of foreign confiscation orders without a parallel domestic investigation (DWF Group, 2025). And both financial intelligence units belong to the Egmont Group, enabling secure, rapid exchange outside slower formal channels (Financial Intelligence Unit-India, n.d.). Reciprocity is documented on both sides: the UAE reported 516 incoming mutual-legal-assistance requests, 559 extradition requests and 1,522 requests to its FIU in 2025, alongside 781 supervisory inspections and AED 384 million in fines (Gulf News, 2026), while India's ED reported record asset attachment of Rs 81,422 crore in 2025-26 and roughly USD 25 billion frozen or attached with USD 6.6 billion restored to victims (Daily Pioneer, 2026; One India, 2026). What the public record does not disaggregate is the India-UAE cut of any of this, the gap the scorecard is designed to fill.

4.2 Mechanism-to-outcome mapping

The central analytical result is that specific cooperation mechanisms produce evidence tied to specific Immediate Outcomes, and that a single joint action credits both states simultaneously, the “shared-benefit” property. A joint investigation ending in an Indian prosecution and an Emirati confiscation enters both effectiveness dossiers; a jointly published typology strengthens the risk understanding recorded in both national assessments. Cooperation is therefore not a favour one state does the other but an investment each makes in its own readiness, and, given the economic cost of a weak evaluation (Kida & Paetzold, 2021), in its own investment climate. Table 1 sets out the mapping, with the three cases as evidence.

4.3 Case evidence

Mahadev, an umbrella online-betting syndicate run substantially from Dubai, generated an estimated Rs 6,000 crore and laundered proceeds through mule accounts, shell companies, hawala and cryptocurrency into overseas real estate (Business Standard, 2023; Organiser, 2026). In March 2026 the ED attached roughly Rs 1,700 crore, about USD 200 million, including eighteen Dubai properties (among them Burj Khalifa and Business Bay units) and two in New Delhi (News on Air, 2026; The420, 2026). This single bilateral operation attached property worth more than the entire value of assets the UAE reported freezing across all cases in 2025, a vivid measure of scale, and a near-textbook demonstration of confiscation (Immediate Outcome 8) whose cross-border execution simultaneously evidences international cooperation (Immediate Outcome 2). The PYYPL matter traced a cyber-fraud of roughly Rs 641 crore through mule accounts and shell entities, then via Indian-issued Visa and Mastercard debit cards to PYYPL, an ADGM-regulated prepaid-card platform, and onward to withdrawals in Dubai or conversion to virtual assets through Binance (Moneylife, 2026; India CSR, 2026). It is a near-perfect illustration of fintech-virtual-asset bridging and of the preventive and information-sharing outcomes (Immediate Outcomes 3 and 4) that supervision of new payment vectors requires. The stalled transfer of Ravi Uppal, by contrast, shows that cooperation graded highly under Immediate Outcome 2 does not automatically yield the completed returns graded under Immediate Outcomes 7 and 8 (Free Press Journal, 2025), the execution gap made concrete, and the argument for a fast-track protocol for FEOA-designated fugitives.

ISSN: 2791-3171 - https://doi.org/10.54878/rbt7g621

4.4 Measurement and comparative positioning

Because the fifth round demands both quantitative and qualitative evidence, the partnership should be instrumented to produce it. Table 2 sets out a bilateral FATF-readiness scorecard, a small set of indicators, each mapped to an Immediate Outcome, reported jointly on a quarterly cycle. Its value is threefold: it disciplines cooperation toward outcomes rather than activity; it supplies, ready-made, the disaggregated bilateral data the public record lacks; and it creates a feedback loop in which lagging indicators reveal where capacity or attention must concentrate. Table 3 positions the two economies comparatively, clarifying that India enters from regular-follow-up strength while the UAE must demonstrate sustained post-delisting effectiveness at its 2026 on-site, asymmetric starting points that make a shared instrument especially valuable.

Table 1. Cooperation mechanisms mapped to FATF Immediate Outcomes, with case evidence

Cooperation mechanism	Immediate Outcome(s)	Case / evidence
Coordinated confiscation & asset recovery	IO7, IO8	Mahadev: ~Rs 1,700 cr (USD ~200m) attached, incl. 18 Dubai properties (2026)
International cooperation / MLA & extradition	IO2	Cross-border execution of Mahadev attachments; UAE 2025 cooperation volumes
Preventive measures & PPP on new vectors	IO3, IO4	PYYPL: ADGM-regulated prepaid card + Binance layering; supervisory reach
Near-real-time FIU intelligence exchange	IO2, IO6	Egmont-channel exchange; FIU-IND FINNET dissemination
Joint risk assessment & typologies	IO1	Aligned NRAs; joint VDA / trade-based-laundering typologies
Fugitive return (execution)	IO7	Uppal transfer stalled , evidences the execution gap

Note. IO = FATF Immediate Outcome. Source: author's analysis of FATF (2022) and enforcement records cited in text.

Table 2. Proposed bilateral FATF-readiness scorecard (quarterly reporting)

Indicator	Immediate Outcome
MLA requests sent / received / executed; mean time to execution	IO2
Extradition requests made / granted / executed (incl. FEOA-designated)	IO2, IO7
Assets provisionally attached / frozen / repatriated (value)	IO8
Joint investigations opened / prosecutions supported / secured	IO7
STRs and intelligence products exchanged between FIUs	IO2, IO6
Joint typologies published (virtual assets, TBML, terrorist financing)	IO1
Public-private information-sharing engagements conducted	IO3, IO4

Note. Source: author. Designed to supply the disaggregated bilateral series absent from current public reporting.

Table 3. Comparative FATF snapshot: India and the UAE

Dimension	India	UAE
Latest evaluation	2024 (fourth round)	2020 (joint FATF-MENAFATF)
Current status	Regular follow-up (highest)	Removed from grey list (Feb 2024)
Next-round on-site	Forthcoming cycle	Mid-2026
Recognised strengths	Cooperation; asset recovery; TFS for PF	Rapid reform; new AML law; supervision
Areas to strengthen	Non-financial supervision; delays	Sustained operational effectiveness
Regional role	FATF member (2010); APG	MENAFATF presidency 2026; TFS chair

Note. PF = proliferation financing; TFS = targeted financial sanctions; APG = Asia/Pacific Group. Source: author, from FATF (2024) and cited sources.

5. Discussion

5.1 Interpreting the findings against the literature

The mechanism-to-outcome mapping substantiates, in a specific bilateral setting, what the effectiveness literature argues in general: that cooperation counts only insofar as it produces outcomes (Mekpor, 2019). The Mahadev attachment shows the corridor generating precisely the confiscation evidence the fifth round rewards; the PYYPL case shows why supervision of fintech and virtual-asset vectors is now central, echoing Leuprecht et al. (2023); and the Uppal impasse illustrates the effectiveness gap in its starkest form. Read together, the cases support the paper's central claim, that a dense corridor can function as an effectiveness-manufacturing mechanism, while refusing to over-claim: manufacturing capacity is not the same as guaranteed output, and the execution gap is real.

5.2 Economic security and the investment climate

The regional-security case rests, finally, on economics. More than USD 100 billion in annual trade, a USD 20-billion-plus remittance flow, and substantial gold and energy movement make this axis a load-bearing structure of the regional economy. Illicit flows through such a structure are a contagion risk, apt to undermine confidence in the corridor's institutions and to redirect into whichever channel is least watched. Because grey-listing measurably depresses capital inflows (Kida & Paetzold, 2021), joint vigilance is not only a compliance good but an investment-climate good: it protects the settlement systems (the INR-AED framework), the connectivity projects (the India-Middle East-Europe Economic Corridor) and the trade-facilitation infrastructure on which the USD 200 billion target depends (Middle East Briefing, 2025).

This reframing carries a practical corollary for how the two governments should present financial vigilance internally. Framed as a compliance cost, AML/CFT investment competes weakly for budget against growth-oriented priorities; framed as an investment-climate determinant, it aligns with them. A corridor whose financial plumbing is demonstrably transparent lowers the perceived risk premium on cross-border settlement, slows correspondent-banking de-risking, and supports the credibility of instruments, local-currency settlement, virtual trade corridors, re-export gateways, designed to deepen non-oil trade. The remittance dimension sharpens the point: because the corridor absorbs close to a third of India's inward remittances (Khaleej Times, 2026), disruption or reputational damage would fall directly on household income for millions of families, giving the vigilance agenda a social as well as a macroeconomic warrant. Financial integrity, on this view, is not adjacent to the economic relationship; it is one of its load-bearing walls.

5.3 The model in comparative perspective

Is the India-UAE arrangement distinctive, or merely one instance of a familiar pattern? A brief comparison locates it. The United States-Mexico relationship shows how high-volume mutual legal assistance and joint task forces can be institutionalised despite substantial political friction, instructive for durability under strain. The United Kingdom-UAE relationship, built up around the UAE's own post-grey-list reforms, illustrates how supervision exchange and legal assistance sustain momentum after a delisting. The Singapore-Indonesia relationship, embedded in ASEAN and increasingly focused on virtual assets, models the regional alignment of risk assessments. Against these, the India-UAE

corridor is distinctive on three counts: the sheer density of licit flow that gives both economies a first-order stake; the fintech-and-virtual-asset intensity of the laundering it must counter, visible in PYYPL; and the fact that one partner holds a regional chairmanship, the 2026 MENAFATF presidency, able to disseminate the model as it matures (AML Intelligence, 2026). The innovations are not sui generis, which is precisely the point: they are transferable, and that transferability is part of the economic and strategic case for adopting them.

5.4 A methodological critique: corridors, not just countries

A doctrinal point deserves pressing. The fifth round assesses jurisdictions individually, not corridors, a genuine blind spot, since the unit of assessment is the state while the unit of illicit finance is the route. Two partners could build a genuinely effective corridor of integrity and still see the achievement scattered thinly across two separate reports. The methodology thus under-rewards the very cooperation it most wants to encourage. Recognising "bilateral effectiveness partnerships" as a distinct, creditable form of international cooperation would align assessment with reality. The obvious objection, that this could advantage well-resourced states, is manageable: credit should attach to demonstrated joint outcomes and to the transferability of the model, and the FATF's technical-assistance arms exist to keep the door open to smaller members.

5.5 Limitations

Four limitations qualify the argument. First, and most consequentially, bilateral cooperation may be insufficient without domestic reform: a scorecard cannot substitute for adequate supervision of real estate and designated non-financial businesses, timely prosecutions, or beneficial-ownership transparency, and cooperation evidence will be discounted against weak home-front outcomes. Second, the execution gap, legal complexity, evidentiary thresholds, evasive fugitives, can hollow out high cooperation ratings. Third, capacity asymmetries and legitimate data-sharing constraints (privacy, sovereignty, live-investigation confidentiality) can bottleneck any given chain. Fourth, the political economy of cooperation, path dependence in the 1999 treaties, weak institutional incentives, and geopolitical calculation involving Pakistan, Iran and China on one side and the United States, Saudi Arabia and free-zone constituencies on the other, explains why these mechanisms have stayed informal. None is disqualifying; each is a constraint the recommendations work with rather than wish away. The open-source evidence base is itself a limitation, which the proposed scorecard is designed to remedy over time.

5.6 Policy implications

The analysis yields a prioritised, agency-specific agenda, summarised as a phased roadmap in Appendix B. A quick-win package deliverable before the UAE's 2026 on-site, standing up the scorecard (FIU-IND, UAEFIU), publishing one joint virtual-asset typology, and designating ED-Executive Office liaison officers, requires no new treaty and produces exactly the cooperation evidence assessors reward. Medium-term steps include a standing Joint Financial Vigilance Task Force and an extradition fast-track protocol for FEOA-designated fugitives; longer-term steps include a bilateral asset-recovery working group and aligned national risk-assessment cycles. For regional bodies, the UAE's 2026 MENAFATF presidency offers a direct channel to disseminate the model, and connectivity initiatives such as IMEC should integrate financial-integrity safeguards by design.

The sequencing is deliberate and has an economic logic. The quick-win items are administrative, low-cost and reversible, yet they generate the disaggregated evidence that both improves the 2026 assessment posture and, through the grey-listing-capital-flows channel, protects the investment climate (Kida & Paetzold, 2021). The medium-term items carry higher institutional cost but address the execution gap that most threatens the credibility of headline cooperation figures. The long-term items, asset recovery and NRA alignment, require legislative and inter-agency coordination and are therefore staged last, once the trust and data infrastructure built by the earlier phases can support them. This impact-versus-feasibility ordering (Appendix B) is itself a contribution: it converts an undifferentiated wish-list into an actionable programme in which each phase de-risks the next, and in which the earliest, cheapest actions carry the highest near-term assessment and investment-climate value.

6. Conclusions

This paper has argued that the India-UAE financial-vigilance partnership is a strategic-economic instrument that converts shared vulnerability into shared capability. A corridor of exceptional density presents laundering, terrorist-financing and proliferation-financing risks neither economy can master alone; the fifth round demands evidence of effectiveness, not compliance; and coordinated vigilance generates that evidence for both states at once, because a single joint action enters both dossiers. The same cooperation pays an economic dividend, protecting the investment climate, remittance stability and connectivity infrastructure on which the

ISSN: 2791-3171 - https://doi.org/10.54878/rbt7g621

corridor's prosperity rests, and a regional one, offering a replicable template for South-Gulf and South-South cooperation.

Three contributions follow: the effectiveness-manufacturing mechanism as an analytical frame; the bilateral FATF-readiness scorecard as a measurement instrument that closes a disaggregated-data gap; and a phased, agency-specific roadmap. Future research should pursue three directions. First, once a scorecard series exists, quantitative work could test the relationship between cooperation intensity and evaluation outcomes, and between evaluation outcomes and capital flows in this specific corridor. Second, comparative study of analogous corridors, US-Mexico, UK-UAE, Singapore-Indonesia, could establish which design features travel. Third, the doctrinal proposal to recognise corridor-based cooperation invites formal analysis of how the FATF methodology might incorporate joint outcomes without disadvantaging smaller jurisdictions. Measurable financial vigilance has become a form of strategic-economic capability; this corridor is unusually well placed to demonstrate it.

References

AML Intelligence. (2026, January 6). UAE takes up MENAFATF 2026 presidency under Hamid AlZaabi. https://www.amlintelligence.com/2026/01/news-uae-takes-up-menafatf-2026-presidency-under-hamid-alzaabi/

AML UAE. (2026, July 6). UAE FATF mutual evaluation 2026. https://amluae.ae/uae-fatf-mutual-evaluation-2026-aml-uae/

Business Standard. (2023, December 13). Mahadev betting case: Everything known about the Rs 6,000 cr scam so far. https://www.business-standard.com/india-news/mahadev-betting-case-everything-known-about-the-rs-6-000-cr-scam-so-far-123121300347_1.html

Chadha, V. (2024). India's mutual evaluation report 2024: Assessment and implications (MP-IDSA Issue Brief). Manohar Parrikar Institute for Defence Studies and Analyses. https://www.idsa.in/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/ib-vchadha-031024-1.pdf

Cyril Amarchand Mangaldas. (2024, September 24). Recent learnings from the Indian virtual digital assets regulatory space (FIG Paper No. 39). https://corporate.cyrilamarchandblogs.com/2024/09/

Daily Pioneer. (2026, May 3). ED attaches Rs 81,422 cr assets in FY26. https://dailypioneer.com/news/ed-attaches-rs-81422-cr-assets-in-fy26

DD News. (2025, November 27). India-UAE hold third CEPA joint committee meeting; bilateral trade exceeds $100 billion. https://ddnews.gov.in/en/india-uae-hold-third-cepa-joint-committee-meeting-bilateral-trade-exceeds-100-billion/

Drishti IAS. (2026). Rise of the India-UAE growth corridor. https://www.drishtiias.com/daily-updates/daily-news-editorials/rise-of-the-india-uae-growth-corridor

DWF Group. (2025). UAE Law No. 10/2025 on fighting financial crimes. https://dwfgroup.com/en/news-and-insights/insights/2025/10/uae-law-no-october-2025-on-fighting-financial-crimes

Extradition Lawyers. (n.d.). Extradition between the UAE and India. Retrieved 2026, from https://extraditionlawyers.ae/locations/extradition-between-the-uae-india/

Financial Action Task Force. (2010). Money laundering vulnerabilities of free trade zones. https://www.fatf-gafi.org/content/dam/fatf-gafi/reports/ML%20vulnerabilities%20of%20Free%20Trade%20Zones.pdf

Financial Action Task Force. (2022). The 2022 methodology for assessing technical compliance with the FATF recommendations and the effectiveness of AML/CFT/CPF systems. https://www.fatf-gafi.org/en/publications/Mutualevaluations/5th-Round-Methodology.html

Financial Action Task Force. (2024). India's measures to combat money laundering and terrorist financing (Mutual Evaluation Report). https://www.fatf-gafi.org/en/publications/Mutualevaluations/India-MER-2024.html

Financial Intelligence Unit-India. (n.d.). Publications. Ministry of Finance, Government of India. Retrieved 2026, from https://fiuindia.gov.in/files/Publication/Publication.html

Free Press Journal. (2025, November 4). Mahadev betting app scam: Rs 6,000 crore accused Ravi Uppal untraceable, Dubai extradition 'on hold'. https://www.freepressjournal.in/india/

Gulf Business. (2026, January 6). UAE assumes MENAFATF presidency for 2026. https://gulfbusiness.com/uae-assumes-menafatf-presidency-for-2026/

Gulf News. (2026, June 5). UAE confiscates Dh4.23 billion in 2025 anti-money laundering crackdown. https://gulfnews.com/uae/crime/uae-confiscates-

dh423-billion-in-2025-anti-money-laundering-crackdown-1.500564167

Herbert Smith Freehills Kramer. (2025, August 12). EU removes UAE from high-risk AML list following FATF grey list exit. https://www.hsfkramer.com/notes/middle-east/2025-posts/eu-removes-uae-from-high-risk-aml-list-following-fatf-grey-list-exit

India CSR. (2026). ED arrests two chartered accountants in alleged Rs 641 crore cyber fraud money-laundering case. https://indiacsr.in/ed-arrests-cas-crore-cyber-fraud-money-laundering-case/

Khaleej Times. (2026, August 18). CEPA powers UAE-India trade beyond $100 billion milestone. https://www.khaleejtimes.com/supplements/cepa-powers-uae-india-trade-beyond-100-billion-milestone

Kida, M., & Paetzold, S. (2021). The impact of gray-listing on capital flows: An analysis using machine learning (IMF Working Paper No. WP/21/153). International Monetary Fund. https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WP/Issues/2021/05/27/The-Impact-of-Gray-Listing-on-Capital-Flows-An-Analysis-Using-Machine-Learning-50289

Leuprecht, C., Jenkins, C., & Hamilton, R. (2023). Virtual money laundering: Policy implications of the proliferation in the illicit use of cryptocurrency. Journal of Financial Crime, 30(4), 1036-1054. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-07-2022-0161

Mekpor, E. S. (2019). Anti-money laundering and combating the financing of terrorism compliance: Are FATF member states just scratching the surface? Journal of Money Laundering Control, 22(3), 451-471. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMLC-09-2018-0057

Middle East Briefing. (2025, September 26). India-UAE CEPA, non-oil trade, and transshipment of goods. https://www.middleeastbriefing.com/news/india-uae-cepa-non-oil-trade-and-transshipment-of-goods/

Moneylife. (2026, March 6). ED arrests 2 CAs in Rs 641 crore cyber fraud money laundering case. https://moneylife.in/article/79857.html

News on Air. (2026, March 26). ED attaches Rs 1,700 crore assets in Mahadev betting case. https://www.newsonair.gov.in/ed-attaches-%E2%82%B91700-crore-assets-in-mahadev-betting-case/

Norton Rose Fulbright. (2024). UAE removed from the FATF grey list. https://www.nortonrosefulbright.com/en/knowledge/publications/eb06aa7c/uae-removed-from-the-fatf-grey-list

One India. (2026, June 4). Enforcement Directorate asset recovery: USD 25 billion frozen, BRICS meet in India. https://www.oneindia.com/india/

Organiser. (2026, July 12). Mahadev betting app scam: CBI's major crackdown on a parallel financial empire exceeding Rs 6,000 crore. https://organiser.org/2026/07/12/369430/

Passas, N. (2003). Hawala and related informal value transfer systems, An assessment in the context of organized crime and terrorist finance: Is there cause for concern? Security Journal, 16(4), 39-53. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.sj.8350060

Press Information Bureau. (2024, June 28). FATF adopts 'Mutual Evaluation Report of India' in its June 2024 plenary held in Singapore. Government of India. https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleaseIframePage.aspx?PRID=2029297

Sentinel Global. (2026). United Arab Emirates financial integrity monitor. https://sentinel.gi/jurisdictions/united-arab-emirates/

Society of Trust and Estate Practitioners. (2022). FATF to increase AML assessments and scope. https://www.step.org/industry-news/fatf-increase-aml-assessments-and-scope

The420. (2026, March 26). Crackdown on 'betting empire' in Dubai: Rs 1,700 crore assets seized in Mahadev app case. https://the420.in/dubai-betting-empire-crackdown-1700-crore-mahadev-app-burj-khalifa-seized/

Times of Oman. (2026, May 21). UAE-India CEPA at four: Bilateral trade crosses $100 billion for second year. https://timesofoman.com/article/172089

Tiwari, M., Ferrill, J., & Allan, D. M. C. (2025). Trade-based money laundering: A systematic literature review. Journal of Accounting Literature, 47(5), 1-26. https://doi.org/10.1108/JAL-11-2022-0111